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## Introduction.

This paper, dealing with the Penæids and Stenopids, forms the first part of the memoir upon the decapod Crustaceans taken during the "Michael Sars" North Atlantic Deep-Sea Expedition in 1910. Subsequent papers will treat of the other prawns and of the Reptantia in a similar manner, and will contain a general account af the decapod fauna of the Atlantic from the geographical and biological points of view, based upon the material collected by the Expedition.

The technical terms used are those generally employed in modern works. In stating the size of a specimen I ahve made a departure from the usage hitherto common, giving the lateral length of the carapace (measured from the ocular sinus to the hinder edge of the carapace) where not otherwise stated. I have introduced this innovation because the total length is often very difficult to determine accurately and, when the rostrum is included, this measurement may give a false idea regarding the dimensions of the animal, as the relative length of the rostrum differs exceedingly, not only in the different species of decapoda, but also in different individuals of the same species.

For most species tables are inserted indicating (1) the dimensions, i. e. length of carapace, (2) the bathymetrical distribution, and (3) the number of specimens taken. The depths are estimated as equal to half the length of wire paid out ( m . w.), and in the opinion of mr. Thor Iversen, the captain of the "Michael Sars" during the Expedition, this estimate is generally not far from the truth. ${ }^{1}$ ) But even if one knew the actual depth at which the appli-
ances were towed, it must be borne in mind that an animal may occasionally be caught while hauling in. The influence of this source of error is however considerably lessened in the case of species taken in great numbers, as the riumber of specimens caught during the short time required to haul will always be small compared with the number taken during the long time devoted to towing horizontally. The following abbreviations are made use of:-
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\operatorname{mxp}^{3}=\text { third maxilliped } \\ \operatorname{trl}^{3}=, \text { pereiopod (trunkleg) }\end{array}\right\}$ after HANSEN 1896
1 sn . = silknet, 1 metre in diameter.
y. = young-fish trawl (with an opening of four square metres).
3 nn . = large net, 3 metres diameter.
$\mathrm{m} . \mathrm{w} .=$ metres of wire paid out.
In the tables indicating the catches, each specimen is represented by a figure denoting its size, following the sign for sex., e. g. $\sigma^{\star} 5=$ a male with carapace 5 millimetres long; if $6.7 \sigma^{\star} 5.7=$ two females and two males, the length of carapace being respectively 6 and 7 , and 5 and 7 mm . The sign $\odot$ is used to indicate immature specimens, the sex of which could not be determined.

I take the opportunity of offering my thanks to dr . Hoort for placing in my hands for examination the rich collections from the Expedition he so succesfully carried through.

The printing of this paper, which was ready in manuscript in 1915, has been delayed by the fire in jan. 1916 and by war-time difficulties. Litterature appeared since 1915 has consequently not been considered.

Bergen, jan. 1920.

[^0]
## PENEIDES.

## Sergestidæ.

This family contains the genera Sergestes, Leucifer, Aphareocaris and Petalidum, of which the two last-named are not represented in the "Michael Sars" collections.

For information regarding the species not treated of in this paper, readers are, above all, referred to Hansen's papers (1896 and 1903).

Leucifer Reynaudii, H. Milne Edwards.
This tiny transparent animal, which looks so little like a shrimp, seems to belong exclusively to the surface of the sea. It was taken in the S. E. portion of the area only.

I have not had access to Milne Edwards' original description; but have determined the specimens by means of Bates' drawings and description in the "Challenger" Report.

| St. | Gear | m. W. | Number | Sex |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 29 | 1 sn | 0 | 1 | $10^{7}$ |
| 45 | 1 sn | 200 | 1 | $10^{*}$ |
| " | 1 sn | 100 | 9 | 4 \% 58 |
| 46 | 1 sn | 0 | 2 | 1 아 $10^{x}$ |
| 47 | $1 / 2 \mathrm{sn}$ | 40 | 13 | 8 ㅇ 5\% |
| 48 | $1 / 2 \mathrm{sn}$ | 7800 | 1 | $1 \sigma^{*}$ |
| 49 | y | 370 | 1 | 1 ¢ |
| 51 | 1 sn | 0 | 113 | 43 우 $700^{*}$ |
| 52 | 1 sn | 0 | 43 | 22 구 21 б |
| 8 | 9 |  | 184 | $1050^{*} 79$ 아 |

## Sergestes.

For the synonymy of this genus I refer to HANSEN (1896).
Ever since the first Sergestes was captured the genus has been a source of difficulty, and even now, 1 believe, there is still much to be added to our knowledge of it, especially concerning the rôle which Sergestes plays as a component of the oceanic plankton. Two circumstances seem to have obscured our knowledge regarding Sergestes, (and this applies also to many other oceanic animals), viz: (1) the adults live generally at some distance from the surface and are consequently more difficult to obtain than the small larva, usually found in the uppermost waterlayers; (2) the adults seem to be powerful
swimmers and hence were not so easily captured before the introduction of steamships in marine investigations allowed of the deep-sea appliances being towed at a greater speed than was formerly practicable.

During the "Michael Sars" Expedition in 1910 the Atlantic watermasses were investigated by means of effective tow-nets, etc., and the captures of presumably swiftly swimming animals like fish and prawns were considerable, not to be compared to anything brought home by former expeditions. Our knowledge of the genus Sergestes has been much extended by an examination of the rich material collected.

Firstly I should like to point out that Sergestes and some other prawns (Hoplophoridæ and Pasiphaidæ) form an essential part of the oceanic mesoplankton, and probably play an important rôle as food for fishes, whales and other large aquatic animals.

Not only are the "Michael Sars" plankton samples rich in quantity, but they also contain many new forms, and have led to a better understanding of the relationship of the forms previously described. Thus of Sergestes four new species are described in this paper, viz: S. grandis, S. splendens, S. tropicus and S. pectinatus and the adults of two species formerly known only as larvæ were found in great numbers in the Atlantic, viz.: S. armatus, $K r$. and S. corniculum, Kr.

Since Hansen's two important papers on Sergestes were published ( 1896 and 1903) our knowledge of the genus cannot be said to have been greately extended. Explorations in the Mediterranean have proved the existence in that sea of a good number of the Atlantic forms (Pesta 1913, u, f).

The following key is in its main features similar to that given by Hansen in 1896, though synonyms and names applying only to larvæ are not included, while some slight alterations are introduced. S. corniculum Kr , is transferred to group B II, as made necessary by a study of the adult. The same is done with S. rubroguttatus Wood Mason which undoubtedly is nearly related to it.

A number of species not captured by the expedition, and of which our knowledge is rather incomplete, are not included, e. g. S. hamifer Wood Mason, etc.

The species taken by the "Michael Sars" are printed in heavy type and the letters after each name denote the main facts about their distribution, $A$ signifying the Atlantic Ocean, $P$ the Pacific, $I$ the lndian and $N$ the Norwegian Sea, $M$ the Mediterranean.

## Review

of the species of Sergestes.
Names of species treated in this paper are printed in heavy type.
A. First joint of antennular peduncles much longer than third.
I. Two outer joints of antennular peduncles robust, nearly as broad as first

1. Cornea small, not broader than stalk, integument soft.
2. Cornea larger than stalk, skin hard as in prawns.
a. No ocular spot or tubercle c. No luminous organs (?)
$\beta$. Luminous organs present

+ On scaphocerite and uropods only
++ Also on other parts $\qquad$
b. Ocular spot present
c. Ocular tubercle present
II. Two outer joints of antennular peduncles slender much narrower than the first

1. Body very slender, cephalic portion very long
2. Body not so slender, no abnormal distance between mouth and eyes:
a. Hairfringe on more than half the length of outer uropod
b. Hairfringe on less than half the length
B. First joint of antennular peduncle shorter than third or of same length.
I. Mxp. ${ }^{3}$ not thicker and longer than trl. ${ }^{3}$ Outer edge of outer uropods fringed with setæ for less than half their extent, the hairfringe limited proximally by a tooth.
3. No spine on epimeron of 5 th abd. segment ..............
4. Curved spine present on 5 th abd. epimeron
II. Mxp. ${ }^{3}$ more robust than trl. ${ }^{3}$ Tooth on outer uropods generally absent.
5. 6 th joint of mxp. ${ }^{3}$ divided into 6 subjoints
6. 6th joint of mxp. ${ }^{3}$ div. into 5 subjoints. Mxp. ${ }^{3}$ with "comb--like" row of short spines
7. 6th joint of mxp. ${ }^{3}$ divided into 4 subjoints.
a. Hairfringe on less than half the length of outer uropod.
b. Hairfringe on more $n$ - ${ }_{n}$ - - ${ }_{n}$ a. 20-25 spines on 6 th joint of mxp. ${ }^{3}$. . . . . . . . . . . .乃. 13-15 ッ - 6th
+. 3rd joint of antennular peduncle as long as the 1st ++3 rd joint of antennular peduncle $1 / 3$ longer than the 1st
S. atlanticus H. M. Edw..... M.A.I.P.
S. cornutus Kr .
A.
S. mollis Smith ..... A.
S. inous Faxon ..... P.
S. japonicus Bate. ..... P.
S. profundus Bate ..... P.
S. bisulcatus W. Mason ..... I.
S. bisulcatus Stebbing ..... A.
S. prehensilis Bate ..... P.
S. robustus Smith N.A.M.
S. grandis n. sp. ..... A.
S. Challengeri, H. J. H. ..... P.
S. gloriosus Stebbing ..... A.
S. splendens n. sp. ..... A.
S. Gardineri Kemp, ..... I.
S. Kröyeri Bate ..... P.
$S$, phorcus Faxon ..... P.
S. tropicus n. sp. ..... A.
S. tenuiremis K ..... A.P.1.
S. arcticus Kr. ..... N.A.M.
S. similis H. J. H ..... P.
S. Henseni (Ortm.) H. J. H. . . ..... A.
S. cornicu1um ( Kr .) ..... M.A.I.P.
S. Edwardsi Kr. ..... A.
S. rubroguttatus Wood Mason ${ }^{1}$ ) ..... 1.
S. pectinatus n. sp. ..... A.
S. incertus H. J. H. ..... A.
S. vigilax Stimpson ..... A.
S. diapontius Bate, H. J. H. . ..... A.
S. armatus (Kr.) ..... A.

Sergestes tenuiremis Kröyer.
S. longicollus Bate 1888.
S. junceus Bate 1888.
S. tenuiremis Hansen 1896.

Only a single young specimen was taken during the expedition, $\mathrm{C}=7$ (total length about 17 mm .), at st. 64 . $1 \mathrm{sn}, 100 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{w}$. The eyes are not black, and the sex cannot be determined.

According to Hansen (1896) S. tenuiremis is known from all three oceans, though only from their warmer areas, the most northerly record being lat. $32^{\circ} 16^{\prime}$ in the Atlantic. St. 64 lies in lat. $34^{\circ} 44^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$.

## Sergestes cornutus Kröyer.

S. cornutus KRÖYER 1855.
S. longispinus Bate 1888.
S. cornutus Hansen 1896.

 2) $\odot 2 \cdot 5$, st. 64, y $300,(10 / 1)$. 3) $\odot 1 \cdot 7$, st. 49, y $300,\left({ }^{15} / 1\right)$.

A few specimens of this species were taken in the southern part of the area explored by the "Michael Sars". Previously it was known from the central Atlantic as far north as the latitude of Florida, and, according to Ortmann (1893), from the central Pacific.

Fig. 1 is a drawing of the petasma. The specimen is possibly not fully developed, as it is difficult to form an idea about the homology of the part compared with the structure found in other species. The process marked $b$ certainly corresponds to $b$ in the petasma of S. robustus.

Hansen, in his description of the mastigopus states that the fourth segment of the abdomen does not carry any spine, but in nearly all the "Michael Sars" a dorsal spine is found, even up to a size of $C=4$ ( $L=$ about 14 mm .) and where it is lacking it seems to have been broken off. Fig. 2 is a drawing of a specimen 7.5 mm . long (C. $=2 \cdot 5$ ). Fig. 3 is the smallest specimen in the collection ( $\mathrm{C}=1.4 \mathrm{~mm}$.), taken at st. 49.

| St. | Gear | m. w. | Number | Sex, size |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 45 | y | 2000 | 1 | $\delta^{x} 5$ |
| 48 | 1 sn | 0 | 2 | - 4 ¢ 94 |
| 49 | y | 370 | 2 | ¢ 4 ¢ 1.4 |
| 53 | 1 sn | 200 | 1 | - 3.5 |
| 64 | 1 sn | 200 | 3 | - 2.6, 2.2, $2 \cdot 1$ |
| , | y | 300 | 4 | (-2.5, 2.1, 2.0, 1.9 |
|  | $3 / 4 \mathrm{sn}$ | 600 | 1 | - 2.9 |
| 67 | $3 / 4 \mathrm{sn}$ | 800 | 1 | - 3.3 |
| (6) | (8) |  | 15 |  |

Sergestes atlanticus H. Milne Edwards.
S. atlanticus, H. Milne Edwards 1830
S. frisii, KRÖYER 1855.
S. ancylops, KRÖYER 1855.
S. pacificus, Stimpson 1860 (fide Hansen 1896).
S. ovatoculus, Bate 1888.
S. atianticus, do. (partim).
S. atlanticus, H. I. HANSEN 1896 and 1903.
H. I. Hansen in his papers of 1896 and 1903 has fully discussed the synonymy, and traced the development, of this species, which proves to have a world-wide distribution in the warmer seas. During the "Michael Sars" Expedition it was taken only along the southern section, and mostly in the upper waterlayers, though the adults seem to descend to intermediate depths.

Table of batymetrical distribution.

| Depth $\left.{ }^{1}\right)$ | Hauls | Size (C) |  | Total |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $0--200$ | 18 | 53 | 36 | 89 |
| $200-500$ | 3 | 4 | 4 | 8 |
| over 500 | 13 | 6 | 22 | 28 |
| Total. ... | 34 | 63 | 62 | $\mathbf{1 2 5}$ |

[^1]Table of sizes. (C in mm.)

| Size .......... | $1^{1 / 2}$ | 2 | $2^{1 / 2}$ | 3 | $3^{1 / 2}$ | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Males........ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 | 5 | 3 |
| Females .. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Young $\ldots$. <br> Indet. ... | 3 | 22 | 1 | 18 | 3 | 16 | 10 | 6 | 4 |



In this species, the length of the carapace (C) seems not to exceed 7 mm . corresponding to a total length of about 20 mm . A figure is given of the petasma (fig. 4).

Fig. 4. S. atlanticus, $\sigma^{\prime} 7$, st. 48, 1/2 sn 7800 petasma, ( ${ }^{15} / 1$ ).

Table of catches. (Hauls made during night marked *)

| St. | Gear | m. v. | Number | Sex, size |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19 | 4 ln | 900 | 1 | - 2 |
| $25^{*}$ | $1 / 2 \mathrm{sn}$ | 3400 | 1 | 96 |
| 29 | y | 400 | 5 | ¢ 7 , o' 6, 5, 5, 5 |
| * | y | 2000 | 2 | ㅇ 6, or 7 |
| $34^{\text {* }}$ | y | 400 | 1 | ¢ 7 |
| $42^{*}$ | y | 900 | 1 | $\bigcirc 6$ |
| 45\% | 1 sn | 200 | 2 | (-3,3 |
| * | y | 300 | 3 | ㅇ (?) $7,6,6$ |
| * | y | 2000 | 2 | ㅇ 7,7 |
| 48 | 1 sn | 0 | 1 | (-) 4 |
| n | $1 / 2 \mathrm{Sn}$ | 7800 | 1 | $\sigma^{7} 7$ |
| 49** | y | 2000 | 1 | -3 |
| 51* | 1 sn | 0 | 4 | $\sigma^{\top} 7, \odot 3,3,2$ |
| 52 | 1 sn | 0 | 15 | $\begin{aligned} & 7,7,7,6,6,6,6,5,5,5,5,4,4,2 \\ & 1^{1 / 2} \end{aligned}$ |
| , | $1 / 2 \mathrm{Sn}$ | 100 | 1 | - $11 / 2$ (dubious) |
| , | 3 sn | 1200 | 1 | 7 |
| 53\% | 1 sn | 0 | 9 | $6,5,5,5,5,4,4,4,3$ |
| \% | 1 sn | 100 | 11 | ¢ $6,6, c^{x} 6,6,6,5,5,5, \odot 3^{1 / 2}, 1^{1 / 2}$ |
| , | y | 300 | 2 | 우 6, 6 |
| \% | y | 1600 | 1 | - 3 |
| , | 3 ln | 2600 | 2 | 우 6,5 |
| $56 \%$ | 1 sn | 0 | 2 | - $31 / 2,3^{1 / 2}$ |
| , * | 1 sn | 100 | 1 | -3 |
| \% | y | 2000 | 1 | -4 |
| \% | 3 ln | 3000 | 2 | ¢ 7, 6 |
| 62\% | 1 sn | 0 | 2 | - $4,21 / 2$ |
| 64 | 1 sn | 200 | 11 | - $4,3,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2$ |
| , | y | 300 | 15 | - $4,4,4,3,3,3,3,3,2,2,2,2,2,2,2$ |
| , | $3 / 4 \mathrm{Sn}$ | 600 | 1 | $\bullet 2$ |
| , | y | 1000 | 2 | - 5, 4, 2 |
| - | y | 2000 | 4 | 6, 5, 5, 3 |
| , | $3 / 4 \mathrm{sn}$ | 2500 | 3 | ㅇ $5,0^{7} 6,5$ |
| 67 | y | 200 | 5 | - $4,3,3,3,3$ |
| - | y | 1200 | 6 | ㅇ $7,6,6,6, \bigcirc 4,4$ |
| 69 | 1 sn | 200 | 1 | $\bigcirc 2$ |
| 80 | $3 / 4 \mathrm{sn}$ | 600 | 2 | - 5, 5 |
| 17 | 36 |  | 125 |  |

## Sergestes arcticus Kröyer

S. arcticus, KröyER 1855.
S. atlanticus, BATE 1888 (partin).
S. arcticus, Hansen 1906.
S. arcticus, Wasserloos 1908.

This species seems to bee commonest of the genus, and was indeed captured by the "Michael Sars" Expedition in all regions of the North Atlantic. lts anatomy is so well known that it is unnecessary to add anything but a drawing of the petasma (fig. 5), to show the homologies with $S$. robustus, the petasma of which 1 have taken as the standard of comparison (see fig. 11). It will be seen that the part $d$ is not found in $S$. arcticus, while the part $c$, which in most species is unarmed, is in this species armed with a row


Fig. 5. S. arcticus, $\sigma^{\pi} 16$, st. 102, $3 \ln 1500$, Petasma, ( $(15 / 1)$. of spine clusters. This structure is figured by Kemp (1910) pl. IlI.

The "Michael Sars" specimens are arranged according to size in the following table:

|  | Sizes ( C in mm.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | $5 \mid 6$ | $6 \mid 7$ | $7 \mid 8$ | 8 | 9 |  |  | 12 |  |  | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 |  |  |  |
| Males $\qquad$ <br> Females $\qquad$ <br> Young. |  | 23 | 2 | 8 | 19 | 926 | 2620 | 20.6 | 6 | 9 | 1 | 2 | 3 2 |  | 2 | 1 4 | 5 | 1 5 | 4 | 3 |  | 2 |

The vertical distribution differs for the larvæ and for the adults, and is correlated with the fact that the latter posses a fair amount of red pigment (see Kemp 1910, p. 32), while the larvae are nearly colourless. (Compare this with the table of vertical distribution of the different sizes).

| Depth m. | Hauls | Size (C in mm.) |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 55 | 1 |  |  |
| $201-500$ | 14 | 48 | 5 |  | 56 |
| over 500 | 17 | 27 | 18 | 15 | 53 |
| Total $\ldots . . . .$. | $\left.44_{1}\right)$ | 100 | 24 | 15 | $\mathbf{1 7 0}$ |

It appears that the grown up individuals do not ascend much beyond 500 m . from the surface, the species resembling in this respect Acantephyra purpurea and other prawns, the horizontal distribution of which it also shares, being found all over the Atlantic, as far north as $65^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$. lat. between lceland and Greenland. lt has also been taken in the Norwegian Sea on several occasions both by Danish

[^2]and Norse Expeditions, on the Norwegian Coast as far north as in the Trondhjem-fiord. In May 1911 it was taken in the Sogne-fiord along with Pasiphcea principalis and P. multidentata (Sund 1913). Generally it may be stated that Sergestes arcticus in the Northern Atlantic has nearly the same distribution as the genus Pasiphoea.

Table of eatches. (Hauls dusing night marked *)

| St. | Gear | m. w. | Number | Sex, stze |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | y | 300 | 1 | 아 11 |
| . | ? | ? | 1 | 아 12 |
| 19 | 4 ln | 900 | 5 | ¢ 12. 11, 10, $\odot 6,5$ |
| 23* | y | 400 | 1 | $\odot 7$ |
| 42* | 1 sn | 200 | 1 | ¢ 8 |
| 53* | y | 1600 | 1 | $\odot 5$ |
| 62 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1 sn | 0 | 2 | $\bigcirc 5,4$ |
| ${ }^{*}$ | y | 1000 | 4 | -6, 6, 6, 5 |
| 64 | 1 sn | 100 |  | 1 mast. |
| 66 | $3 / 4 \mathrm{sn}$ | 1500 | 9 | © 7, 7, 7, 7, 6. 6, 6, 6, 4, |
| , | $3 / 4 \mathrm{sn}$ | 1000 | 2 | -6,6 |
| , | y | 1500 | 4 | $\odot 7,7,6,6$, |
| 70 | $3 / 4 \mathrm{sn}$ | 70 | 3 | -8, 7, 5 |
| $\bigcirc$ | y | 1700 | 19 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ㅇ } 19,18,18,17,17,17,16,16,15 \text {, } \\ & \sigma^{\pi} 14,13,13,13,13,13,12,12,12 \text {, } \\ & \odot 7 \end{aligned}$ |
| 80 | y | 1000 | 5 | $\bigcirc 15,14, \odot 5,5,5$ |
| n | $3 / 4 \mathrm{sn}$ | 1500 | 1 | $\odot 6$ |
| " | y | 2000 | 1 | ¢ 16 |
| " | 3 ln | 3000 | 2 | ¢ 18, 16 |
| 81 | 1 sn | 100 | 7 | 4 mast., 3 acanthosoma |
|  | $3 / 4 \mathrm{sn}$ | 600 | 1 | $\odot 3$ |
| 82 | 1 sn | 100 | 20 | 5 mast., 15 acanthosoma |
|  | 1 sn | 200 | 13 | mastigopus |
| 84 | $3 / 4 \mathrm{sn}$ | 600 | 1 | $\bigcirc 4$ |
|  | $3 / 4 \mathrm{sn}$ | 1500 | 2 | - 5, 4 |
| 87 | y | 1000 | 12 | $\odot 8,7,7,7,7,6,6,6,5,5,5,5$ |
| 88 | 1 sn | 100 | 2 | -8,7 |
| . | y | 300 | 2 | $\odot 7,7$ |
| , | y | 1000 | 4 | $\odot 9,9,6,6$ |
| 90 | $3 / 4 \mathrm{sn}$ | 600 | 2 | $\bigcirc 5,4$ |
|  | y | 1000 | 3 | -9, 9, 6, 6 |
| 92* | 1 sn | 100 | 2 | -6,4 |
| ${ }^{*}$ | y | 300 | 2 | -9, 9 |
| "* | y | 2000 | 1 | -4 |
| 94 | 3 ln | 2000 | 1 | ¢ 14 |
| 98 | 1 sn | 200 | 2 | $\odot 5,5$ |
| n | y | 600 | 3 | $\odot 9,8,7$ |
| , | y | 1000 | 5 | $\bigcirc 9,9,6,6,6$ |
| " | $3 / 4 \mathrm{sn}$ | 1450 | 1 | $\odot 6$ |
| $\cdots$ | 3 ln | 1500 | 10 | ¢ $19,16,15,15,14,13, \odot 9,8,7,7$ |
| 101 | y | 600 | 4 | $\odot 7,5,5,5$ |
| " | $3 / 4 \mathrm{sn}$ | 1500 | 2 | -6,4 |
| . | y | 2000 | 2 | ¢ 17, © 5 |
|  | 3 ln | 2500 | 3 | 아 15, 14, 13 |
| 102* | y | 600 | 3 | $\odot 6,3,3$ |
| * | 3 m | 1500 | 1 | ${ }^{7} 16$ |
| 21 | 45 |  | 170 | $\bigcirc^{*} 10$, ¢ $27, \odot 133$ |

Sergestes corniculum (Kroyer).
S. corniculum, KRÖYER 1860 (larvæ).
S. corniculum, Bate 1888 (do.)
S. corniculum, Hansen 1896 (do.)

S, corniculum, Hansen 1903 (do.)
? S. rubroguttatus, Lo Blanco 1904 (aduit).
? S. rubroguttatus, PESTA 1913 (adult).
S. vigilax, Stephensen 1913 (do.)

Young specimens of this species were described by Kröyer in 1860, and later on Hansen (1896) gave further particulars, and added a description of stage still younger than that described by Kröyer. The adult was captured in the Mediterranean by the "Puritan" and a drawing of it was published by Lo Bianco (1904). -It was subsequently examined by Pesta and Stephensen, who gave drawings of the petasma. I am not quite sure that the Mediterranean species is identical with the Atlantic one; there seems to be a very slight difference in the form of the petasma but it may be accidental.

This fine large species is closely related to S. rubroguttatus, Wood Mason.

That I am right in referring the adult form to the species described from larval specimens by Kröyer is proved by a study of the outer maxillipeds. Fig. 6 shows the 6 th joint in an adult and in a mastigopus; in the lastnamed the four distal subjoints are not yet distinctly divided from each other, and in neither can the subdivision of the two long proximal subjoints, mentioned by Hansen, be seen with certainty.

The branchial apparatus agrees closely with the description given by HANSEN (1896, p. 957). In the adult the relative lengths of the four posterior branchiæ (those above the 3rd and 4th pereiopods) are about as follows: 9-3-6-4.

The mxp. ${ }^{3}$ do not differ very much from the pereiopods, an only the proximal two-fifths of the external uropods are devoid of setæ on the outer edge. Nor does this edge carry any spine (see fig. 7). The rostrum (Pl. II, fig. 1) is small, ending in a blunt tooth, directed forward. Ocular and hepatic spines present. Cervical groove distinct; so are also the branchiocardiac groove and ridge, which run backwards to the edge of the carapace. The pleon is smooth, the pleuræ rounded, and the sixth somite is very powerful, being nearly twice as long and one-half deeper than the fifth.

The integument is transparent (but not soft) save for some red spots on the fore part of the body (see fig. 8).-The pleon also probably carries such patches, but they are not visible in the preserved material. The stomach is of a bright red colour, clearly visible through the transparent body. S. rubroguttatus Wood-Mason is the only other species of this type of colouring.

The eyes reach about to the middle of the 1 st segment of the antennular peduncles, the diameter of the cornea being about half the length of the ocular peduncles, which carry on their inner side and near the edge of the cornea a low colourless tubercle, which is seen to receive a branch of the optic nerve.

The antennular peduncle is slender, about two-thirds the length of the carapace. The first joint is slightly
shorter than the third, which is about one half longer than the second. The internal flagetlum in the male differs slightly from that in $S$. rubroguttatus, figured by Wood-Mason 1892. Compare his figure with fig. 9.

The scaphocerite reaches about to the middle of the third joint of the antennular peduncles. Its outer edge is gently curved from base to tip, and more strongly in the outer half. The terminal spine is short and stout.


Figs. 6-10. Sergestes corniculum. 6 a) Outer end of third maxilliped, $\odot 3 \cdot 2$, st. 67, y 200.6 b) The same, 917 , st. $62,3 \ln 3000$. 7) Outer uropod, ㅇ 15 , st. 51 , y 300 , ( ${ }^{(1 \% / 1)}$. 8) Forepart of $\sigma^{x} 15$, st. 51 , y 300 , $(\overline{5} / 1)$. 9) First antenna, $\sigma^{x} 17$, st. 51 , y 300 , ( ${ }^{10 / 1}$ ). 10) Petasma, o 15 , st. 51 , y 300 , $\left({ }^{30} / 1\right)$.

The petasma is strongly and very characteristically developed. In general plan it resembles that of $S$. robustus, but the processes $c$ and $d$ are much more developed, while the processes $g$ and $f$ are reduced both in size and armature. Thus the process $f$ in S. corniculum has only a single hook, situated on the tip, while the end of $c$ is broadened and terminates with a row of "claws". Parallel with the terminal row is another, consisting of smaller claws.

The largest individual is a female, 55 mm . in total length, corresponding to a length of carapace of 19 mm .

Table of sizes.

| Sizes (C) | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 71 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Males |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 12 | 2 |  | 3 |  |  |  | 8 |
| Females .... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 7 | 7 | 4 | 1 |  | 30 |
| Young..... | 1 | 2 |  |  | 2 | 5 |  |  | 3 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 17 |

This beautiful species was taken at most stations, except those situated in the NE quadrant of the area investigated, 55 specimens being procured.

The bathymetrical distribution is shown in the following table. Although the catches are not numerous it
appears that the species mostly lives in the intermediate waterlayers, and rises some hundred metres nearer to the surface during the dark hours.

## Table of vertical distribution.

| Depth (metres) | Hauls |  | Size ( C in mm.) |  |  |  | D | N |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 3-10 |  | 11-19 |  |  |  |  |
|  | D | N | D | N | D | N |  |  |  |
| $0-100$ | 1 | 5 | 2 | 7 |  | 6 | 2 | 13 | 15 |
| 150-200 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 22 | 2 | 23 | 25 |
| 300-1700 | 4 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 7 | 6 | 9 | 15 |
| Total . | 9 | 18 | 7 | 10 | 3 | 35 | 10 | 45 | $55^{1}$ ) |
| Gr. tot. . |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |

\footnotetext{
Table of catches. (Hauls during night marked *)

| St. | Gear | m. w. | Number | Sex, size |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $23^{*}$ | 1 sn | 200 | 2 | ¢ 16, ${ }^{\text {a }} 13$ |
| * | y | 400 | 3 | 아 17, 16, of 17 |
| 25 | $1 / 2 \mathrm{sn}$ | 3400 | 1 | $\bigcirc 10$ |
| 29 | y | 400 | 2 | 우 $12, \odot 9$ |
| $34^{*}$ | y | 400 | 1 | 아 16 |
| 45* | y | 300 | 2 | - 9, 11 |
| 49** | 4 ln | 1000-0 | 2 | 우 17, 17 (verticai haul) |
| * | 4 ln | 3000 | 2 | ¢ 19,17 |
| $51^{*}$ | 1 sn | 200 | 1 | 아 15, |
| n* | y | 300 | 6 | 아 17, 16, 15, 14, o ${ }^{\text {a }} 17,15$ |
| $\stackrel{*}{*}$ | y | 2000 | 1 | 우 18 |
| 52 | y | 600 | 1 | ${ }^{7} 14$ |
| , | 3 ln | 1200 | 1 | $\odot 7$ |
| 53* | 1 sn | 100 | 4 | $\odot 10,8,8.4$ |
| ${ }^{*}$ | 1 sn | 200 | 2 | -10, 8 |
| $n$ " | y | 300 | 6 | 아 17, 16, 16, 15, 14, o ${ }^{7} 15$ |
| " | 3 ln | 2600 | 2 | ¢ 18. $\odot 8$ |
| 56* | y | 300 | 1 | 아 18 |
| ${ }^{*}$ | 3 ln | 3000 | 2 | 아 17, 15 |
| 58* | y | 300 | 7 | ¢ $16,15,13,13,13, \mathrm{o}^{717} 12$ |
| $62^{*}$ | 1 sn | 0 | 1 | - 4.2 (mast.) |
| n* | y | 2000 | 1 | $\bigcirc 7$ |
| * | 3 ln | 3000 | 1 | 아 15 |
| 64 | 1 sn | 100 | 1 | $\odot 3.0$ (mast.) |
| , | 1 sn | 600 | 2 | $\odot 4,4$ |
|  | 3 ln | 3000 | 1 | $\bigcirc 9$ |
| 67 | y | 200 | 1 | -4.0 |
| 88 | y | 1000 | 1 | ¢ 18 |
| 15 | 27 |  | 57 | $80^{*}, 31$ ㅇ, 18 - |

[^3]Sergestes robustus Smith 1882.
S. dissimilis, Bate 1888.
S. mediterraneus, HANSEN 1896.
S. dissimilis, Hansen 1903(1, 2).
S. inermis, Hansen 1903(2).
S. robustus, Hansen 1908. - ${ }^{-}$Кемр. 1910.

Small individuals of this species were caught at nearly all the stations during the expedition, as well as a few fine adult specimens. The identification of this species proved to be very easy from Smith's description, especially as he has given a good drawing of the petasma, that appendage which should be used as the "ear-mark" in the genus Sergestes. Several doubtful species are in my opinion rendered „good" on the evidence furnished by the petasma. I have tried to use Smith's designations of the different parts of this appendage when dealing with other species, but in many cases the homology is rather doubtful, especially in very distantly related species, for instance $S$. robustus and S. pectinatus.

For the sake of a clearer understanding of the petasma I reproduce here Smith's drawing (fig. 11) and an additional figure of the outer portion, seen from behind, Smith's figure being seen from before. The "fingers" $f$ and $g$ seen from before look like separate members, but in fact form one piece, bent in two planes (see fig. 12).

The smallest individual in which I could detect a petasma was only 23 mm long ( $\mathrm{C}=7$ ), and among the individuals of $\mathrm{C}=8 \mathrm{I}$ found three with petasma buds. Fig. 13 is drawn from a male of this size. There were twelve individuals with $\mathrm{C}=8$, in wich no petasma could be seen, but I dare not conclude that they are all females. When C excedes 9 I believe than the petasma should be easily seen, and I have therefore classed as females all the specimens above 9 mm (C) without petasma. The only adult female specimen is very like the male in appearance, except that the eyes are slightly smaller.

I cannot dismiss this opportunity of mentioning what I believe to be the luminous organs of Sergestes robustus. The structures in question are small opaque patches on the scaphocerite and on the outer uropods, arranged in a similar manner to that found in S. challengeri. S. glorious and $S$. splendens. In $S$. robustus there is a row of 14 patches on the scaphocerite: 7 along the outer edge of the muscle and 7 beyond the termination of it. On the outer uropod there are 12 patches, six situated in an irregular longitudinal row beyond the muscle, and six near the inner setose edge, in a row occupying the second fourth from the base of that edge. I have not been able to find such patches on other parts of the body
or appendages. On the outer uropods there are fine dotted lines, just as in $S$. splendens, which may also be luminous organs.

As the name denotes, $S$. robustus is one of the largest species in the genus. It should be mentioned that the abdomen in $S$. robustus is considerably longer than in $S$. mollis. The following table shows the number of specimens of each size and sex:

(The figures given for total length are only approximate).


Fig. 11-13. Sergestes robustus. 11) Petasma. after Smith (1884), (ca. ${ }^{15} / 1$ ). 12) Tip of Petasma, $\boldsymbol{o}^{7} 18$, st. 53 , 3 in 2600 , ( $50 / \mathrm{I}$ ). 13) Petasma, or 8 , st, 67, y 1200 , $(50 / 0)$.

The peculiar feature of this table is that no specimens between 11 and 17 mm C. were caught. Is this to be interpreted as indicating that the material comprises two (or three?) yearclasses?
S. robustus was taken at 19 stations, on the northern and southern sections, to the W. of the British Isles, and, what is most remarkable, at st. 102 to the SE. of the Faeroes, in the part of the Faroe-Shetland Channel belonging to the Norwegian Sea, as well as in the Spanish Gulf and near the Canaries, but not on the route between the Canaries and the Azores.

It had previously been captured by "Blake" and the "Albatross" off the New England coast (Smith), in the Bay of Biscay by the "Caudan" (Caullery), in the Mediterranean near Crete (Adensamer) and near Sicily (Lo Bianco and Riggio). It has been taken at a number
of localities to the W . of Ireland, and by Danish and Norwegian expeditions to the SW. of the Faroes. Generally it may be said that it is as yet known only from the Gulf Stream and from Mediterranean watermasses, inside and outside Gibraltar. Its apparent absence between the Canaries and the Azores may be accidental.

Vertical distribution of sizes.

| Depth <br> (metres) | Hauls | Size (C in mm) |  | Total |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $50-8 \mid 9-12$ | $17-22$ |  |  |  |  |
| $50-250$ | 10 | 12 | 12 |  | 24 |
| $251-500$ | 11 | 33 | 10 |  | 43 |
| $501-1000$ | 14 | 36 | 5 | 1 | 42 |
| $>1000$ | 8 | 19 | 14 | 5 | 38 |
| Total $\ldots \ldots$. | 43 | 100 | 41 | 6 | 147 |

From the vertical distribution as evidenced by the "Michael Sars" catches it appears that $S$. robustus is a deep-sea prawn, only the younger stages occurring in the upper strata, but never at the surface. There is a marked difference in the colouring of the adult and the young, the latter being almost transparent, only the purplish blue stomach shining through the carapace. The exceedingly beautiful colouring of the adult (scarlet lake with crimson spots, golden feather-bristles and blue reflections) is well described by Kemp (1910).

Table of catches, (Hauls made during neight marked *)

| St. | Gear | m. w. | Number | Sex, size |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $23 *$ | 1 sm | 200 | 5 | ¢ $11,10,9,9, \odot 7$ |
| * | y | 400 | 2 | \% 10 , ¢ 8 |
| * | T | (1215) | 1 | ㅇ 9 |
| $34^{*}$ | y | 400 | 1 | ¢ 9 |
| \% * | $1 / 2 \mathrm{sn}$ | 600 | 2 | ¢ 9 9,9 |
| 35 | 4 sn | 2400-0 | 3 | or 11, ¢ 11, 10 |
|  | $1 / 2 \mathrm{sn}$ | 4200 | 1 | O 10 |
| 42* | y | 900 | 4 | ¢ $11,11,10,10$ |
| 53 | y | 300 | 2 | - $10,0+8$ |
| $\cdots$ | y | 600 | 2 | -6,6 |
| $\cdots$ | $1 / 2 \mathrm{sn}$ | 2100 | 1 | $\bullet 7$ |
| , | 31 n | 2600 | 4 | $\bigcirc{ }^{\circ} 18,17, \odot 7,7$ |
| $56^{*}$ | 1 sm | 100 | 1 | - 7 |
| ${ }^{\text {* }}$ | 1 sm | 200 | 1 | - 0 |
| * | y | 300 | 5 | - $7,7,7,7,7$ |
| "* | y | 1000 | 3 | $\odot 7,7,7$, |
| * | ${ }^{y}$ | 2000 | 2 | ¢ $10, \odot 6$ |
|  | 3 ln | 3000 | 13 | 아 $10,10,10,9,9,8,8, \odot 7,7,7,7,6,6$ |
| 58* | y | 300 | 3 | ㅇ 10, ○8, 7 |
| 62 | 1 sn | 200 | 2 | - 9 , 911 |
| n ${ }^{*}$ | y | 1000 | 2 | $\bigcirc 9, \odot 6$ |
| $\stackrel{*}{*}$ | y | 2000 | 2 | -7,5 |
| " | 3 ln | 3000 | 2 | \% 19,11 |
| 63 | 4 sn | 1350-450 | 1 | - 8 |
| 64 | y | 2000 | 9 | 아 $17,10,10,10,10,10, \bigcirc 9,7,7$ |
| n | $3 / 4 \mathrm{sn}$ | 2500 | 2 | $0^{x} 8.198$ |
|  | 3 ln | 3000 | 5 | $\bigcirc 21,{ }^{\text {¢ }} 8.8,8,8, \bigcirc 7$ |
| 66 | y | 1500 | 3 | \% 9, 7, 98 |
| 67 | y | 1200 | 14 | ${ }^{\text {or }}$, $\odot 7,7,6,6,6,6,5,5,5,5,5,5,5$ |
| 81 | y | 2000 | 1 | \% 9 |
| 87 | $3 / 4 \mathrm{Sn}$ | 1500 | 1 | ¢ 8 |
|  | y | 2000 | 2 | $\bigcirc 8, \odot 7$ |
| 88 | y | 1000 | 3 | $\bigcirc{ }_{0} 9,8, \bigcirc 7$ |
| n | $3 / 4 \mathrm{sn}$ | 1500 | 1 | - 9 |
|  | y | 2000 | 1 | ¢ 10 |
| 90 | y | 1000 | 4 | ㅇ 9, 9, $\odot 7,7$ |
| 92 |  | 300 | 2 | \% 9 , 99 |
| \% | $3 / 4 \mathrm{sn}$ | 600 | 2 | $\bigcirc 8, \odot 6$, |
|  | y | 1000 | 1 | ¢ 8 |
| 98 | ${ }^{\mathrm{y}}$ | 1000 | 18 | - 8 à 7,9 à 6,1 à 5 mm . |
| n | $3 / 1$ sn | 1450 | 1 | -6 6 |
|  | 3 ln | 1500 | 10 | ठ' 22, ๑8, 8, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7 |
| 101 | $3 / 4$ sn | 1500 | 2 | -6,5 |
|  | y | 2000 | 2 | -6,6 |
| 102 | y | 1000 | 2 | -7,6 |
| 20 | 45 |  | 151 | $0^{\times} 21, \quad$ + 46, $\bigcirc 84$ |

## Larvæ.

At the stations $51,52,53$ and 64 a number of mastigopus stages were taken which I believe may be referred to Sergestes robustus. Their length is between

4 and 7 mm . ( $\mathrm{C}=1.7-2.5 \mathrm{~mm}$.) and they agree perfectly with Bates' (1888), Ortmann's (1893) and Hansen's (1903) descriptions of S. dissimilis, which Hansen later (1908) cancelled as being the young of $S$. robustus ${ }^{1}$ ).

A study of the telson in these larvæ and in the subadult $S$. robustus and its nearest allies makes Hansen's statement probable, though some transitional stages ( $\mathrm{C}=$ $3-4 \mathrm{~mm}$.) are needed to make the series complete. Fig. 2 and 3, pl. I, are photographs of the head and tail-fan of a specimen 4.7 mm . long $(C=2.1)$, and in textig. 14 outline drawings of telson of the same specimen and of

S. robustus (juv.). Fig. 14. Tip of telson in 4 young specimens. Size (C) inscribed in each drawing. The two smallest from st. 64 y 300 , the others from s. 98, y 1000 . Fig. 15. Abdomen of $\odot 1.7$ in fig. 14.
a smaller one (but subadult specimens of $S$. robustus) are given. I will be noted that the larvæ and the subadults possess the same number of lateral spines. In the smallest of the subadults $(C=5)$ the tip is still cleft while in the largest it has become simple. In one specimen with forked tip, not figured, a single tip was observed ready to take its place after moulting.

In the smallest larvae ( $C=1.5-1.7$ ) there were also spines on the 2 nd and 3 rd abdominal somites, in the very smallest ( 1.5 ) even on the first, while the specimens described by Bate ( $L=10 \mathrm{~mm}$.), by Ortmann ( $10-12 \mathrm{~mm}$.) by Hansen ( 9.5 mm .) and the others taken

1) H. I. HaNSEN writes (1908): "It appears now that S. dissimilis is the mastigopus stage of $S$. robustus, so that intermediate stages have been described as S. incertus H. I. H. and as "the subadult stage of $S$. mediterraneus H. l. H." I presume that " $S$. incertus" in the passage cited is a misquotation for $S$. inermis.
by the "Michael Sars" ( $4.7-7.0 \mathrm{~mm}$.) had spines on the 4 th, 5 th and 6 th only, see fig. 15.

Larval examples of Sergestes robustus were taken on the folloving occasions:
St. 51, $0 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{w}$. one, $\mathrm{C}=2.4 \mathrm{~mm}$.
" 52,0 " one, $\mathrm{C}=1.5$ "
" 53,60 " one, $\mathrm{C}=2.0$ "
, 64,100 " ten, $C=1.9-2.5 \mathrm{~mm}$., one 1.5 mm .
„ . 300 „ two, $C=1.7,2 \cdot 1$.

## Sergestes splendens n. sp.

This handsome species is a near relative of $S$. robustus which it resembles in the form of its powerful body (see fig. 16) though of much smaller size, the adult females not reaching a total length of 40 mm . The males are smaller. Though of such moderate size $S$. splendens has a stout and more muscular body than perhaps any other


Fig. 16-18. Sergestes splendens, of 10 , st. 49, 3000. Outline of body ( $2 / 1$ ), rostrum ( ${ }^{3} / 1 /$ ), ext. uropod and scaphocerite ( ${ }^{10} / 1$ ) and petasma ( ${ }^{30 / 1}$ ).

Sergestes. The sizes of the specimens taken are given in the following table:

| Number of | Length of carapace ( mm .) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |  |
| Males | 4 | 4 | 12 | 21 | 5 | 3 | 6 |  |  | 51 |
| Females. |  | 14 | 5 | 22 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 55 |
| Young . . . . . | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |

The rostrum is in most specimens of the form represented in fig. 16, though it is subject to some variation as will be seen from fig. 19.

The carapace has distinct grooves but no ocular spine, and the hepatic spine is represented only by a bluntly rounded lobe. The eyes are larger than those of S. robustus, and spherical. On the inner and upper side of the stalks there is the rudiment of an ocular tubercle.

The branchiæ are as in S. robustus, differing only in the relative size of the posterior branchia above the third pereiopod, this branchia being only two thirds as long as the anterior, while in S. robustus it is nearly as large. The posterior branchia above the fourth pereiopod is $4 / 5$ as long as the preceding one.

The first joint of the antennular peduncles is slightly shorter than the second and the third together. The second is about one fourth longer than the third, which is not much more than two times longer than broad.

The form of the scaphocerite and the outer uropod may be seen from fig. 17. On both appendages is noticed even in the smallest specimens a row of peculiar patches which I believe to be luminous organs, their position being analogous to that of the light organs of S. gloriosus Stebbing and S. Challengeri Hansen. In the young specimens the patches are fewer in number, while in the young of $S$. robustus no patches are visible till the animal has reached a size of a full-grown S. splendens.

The colouring of newly preserved formaline specimens consists of an intense scarlet on the fore part of the back, fading gradually into the transparent abdomen and legs. In the alcoholic specimens the colour is persistent only along the grooves of the carpace.

The nearest relative of S. splendens is undoubtedly S. Gardineri Kemp (Kemp 1913) which is proved by the petasma in these species, (see fig. 18) that of S. splendens carrying however only one process ( $e$ in the figure) were S. Gardineri has two. Other points of distinction may be derived from the relative length of the branchiæ, and of the joints of the antennular stalk; the presence in S. splendens of patches believed to be luminous organs, etc.

Also from the species described by Stebbing (1908) as $S$. bisulcatus $S$. splendens is easily distinguished by the presence of the lastnamed character and by its size (C. never exceeding 12 mm . in the 111 specimens, while Stebbings specimen measured 24, the total length being 76 mm ). From S. gloriosus and S. bisulcatus Wood Mason our species is distinguished by its characteristic petasma which resembles only that of S. Gardineri as stated above.

Table of bathymetrical distribution. ${ }^{1}$ )

| Depth (m.) | Hauls |  | Size ( C in mm.) |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | < 7 |  | 7-9 |  | $>9$ |  |  |  |
|  | D | N | D | N | D | N | D | N | D | N |
| 50-100 | 2 | 5 | 3 |  |  | 10 |  | 3 | 3 | 13 |
| 101-250 | 1 | 5 |  | 10 | 1 | 40 |  | 5 | 1 | 55 |
| $\geq 250$ | 5 | 6 | 25 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 32 | 7 |
| Total ........ |  |  | 28 | 11 | 4 | 53 | 4 | 11 | 36 | 75 |

${ }^{1}$ ) Heavy figures denote the number of specimens taken during the night.

Horizontally S. splendens is apparently limited to the southern part of the area explored. The accompanying table of batymetrical distribution seems to indicate that the species avoids the upper waterlayers during the day, but ascends during the night, though it has not been taken at the very surface of the sea.

Table of catches.

| St. | Gear | m. w | Number | Sex, size |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 29 | y | 400 | 1 | ¢ 9 |
| 42 | y | 300 | 1 | ¢ 8 |
| 45 | 1 sm | 100 | 1 | $0^{17}$ |
| n | 1 sn | 200 | 6 | ¢ $7,7, o^{\text { }} 7,7,7,7$ |
| " | y | 300 | 50 | ㅇ: 1 á 10,2 á 8,16 à 7,1 à 6 and 4 á 5 mm $\delta^{7}: 1$ à 10,2 à 8 , 18 à 7 and 5 à 6 mm . |
| - | y | 2000 | 1 | ¢ 10 |
| " | $4 \ln$ | 3000 | 1 | ¢ 9 |
| 49 | $4 \ln$ | 3000 | 4 | ¢ $10,7, o^{7} 10,6$ |
| 51 | 1 sn | 200 | 1 | $\delta^{7} 10$ |
| 刀 | y | 300 | 2 | or 10, 9 |
| n | $1 / 2 \mathrm{sn}$ | 1000 | 1 | $\sigma^{7} 6$ |
| 52 | $1 / 2 \mathrm{~s}$ | 100 | 2 | $0^{\prime} 6, ~ ㅇ, ~ 5$ |
| 53 | 1 sn | 100 | 4 | 우 10, or 9, 9, 8 |
| " | y | 300 | 1 | $\sigma^{10}$ |
| , | y | 600 | 2 | ㅇ 9, 7 |
| " | $3 \ln$ | 2600 | 1 | $\sigma^{18}$ |
| 56 | 1 sn | 200 | 1 | 아 11 |
| " | y | 300 | 1 | ¢ 10 |
| 62 | y | 2000 | 1 | 아 10 |
| 64 | 1 sn | 100 | 1 | $\odot 4$ |
| $"$ | y | 2000 | 3 | $\bigcirc{ }_{\circ} 10, \odot 4,4$ |
| 66 | $3 / 4 \mathrm{sn}$ | 1000 | 1. | 아 |
| 67 | $3 \ln$ | 2000 | 2 | $\delta^{1} 8(\mathrm{~L}=29)$, ㅇ $12(\mathrm{~L}=39)$ |
| n | y | 1200 | 22 | $0^{7}: 4$ à 6 and 4 à $5 \mathrm{~mm}, \quad q: 4$ à 6 and 8 à $5 \mathrm{~mm}, \odot 2$ à 4 mm . |
| 12 | 24 |  | 111 |  |

## Larvæ.

At stations 64 and 67 some larvæ were taken which I believe may be referred to $S$. splendens. They resemble this species very much in the form of the cephalic appendages, even the rudiment of the ocular tubercle being visible as a protrusion from the nerve (see fig. 20 , which also shows the form of uropod typical of S. splendens). It is true that an ocular tubercle is present in many species of Sergestes, but none of these species were taken in the same area with the larvæ in question.

An examination of the telson points also to S. splendens. Fig. 21 shows the outlines of the telson in the three larvx, and in a nearly adult S. splendens. It will be noted that the three pairs of dorsolateral spines found in the smallest larvæ, have disappeared in the two larger larva, but the form of the tip convinces one of the specific identity of the three larvæ, neither could any other differences be found than those connected with




Figs. 19-20. S. splendens. 19) Rostrum of 4 specimens, the sizes (C) of which are inscribed, from st. $53,1 \mathrm{sn} 100.20)$ Head and uropod of $\odot 3.15$ from st. $67,1 \mathrm{sn} 50$.


Fig. 21. S. splendens. Tip of telson of 4 specimens ${ }^{3}$ (the size of which are inscribed) from st. 64 and 67.
size. Now both S. splendens and S. grandis carry only one pair of spines on the telson, as does the larger of the larvæ in question. But these spines in S. grandis are situated very far from the tip, whereas in S. splendens they are found close to it (see fig. 21).

The larvæ referred to $S$. splendens, were taken on the following occasions:
St. 64, 1sn $100 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{w}$, one, $\mathrm{C}=2 \cdot 6, \mathrm{~L}=6 \cdot 15$,

$$
\text { Urop. }=1.25 \mathrm{~mm}
$$

" " y $2000 \quad, \quad$ one, $\mathrm{C}=2 \cdot 9, \quad \mathrm{~L}=7 \cdot 0$,

$$
\text { Urop. }=1.50
$$

„ 67,1 sn $50 \quad „ \quad$ one, $\mathrm{C}=3.15 \mathrm{~L}=8.7$,
Urop. $=1.70 \quad$ "
Pl. Il, figs. 2 and 3, are photos of the head parts and tail-fan in the smallest of the specimens.

## Sergestes grandis n. sp.

This species is very nearly related to the species described by Faxon (1895) from the Pacific under the name of S. bisculatus Wood-Mason. In 1893 Faxon had mentioned his species under the name of S. phorcus, which was withdrawn by himself as a synonym. Now after the publication of a more detailed account with illustrations of S. bisculatus by Alcock in 1901 it appears beyond doubt that Faxons species is not identical with that of Wood-Mason, so that the former must retain the original name of S. phorculs. In describing S. grandis I shall try to indicate the points in which it differs from the species mentioned.

Figs. 22 and 23 show two females, one adult and one young. The relative length of carapace and abdomen is nearly as in Faxon's species, the abdomen, telson excluded, equalling about twice the length of the carapace, while i Wood-Mason's species, according to Alcock's figure (Illustr. . . . Investigator, pl. L), the carapace is half the length of the abdomen, telson included.

The rostrum, as in Faxon's species, is small, inclined about $30^{\circ}$ from the back. Both edges are parallel, and the tip is excavated as in $S$. splendens.

Both transverse grooves are well defined, as are also the gastrohepatic and the branchiocardial, the last running nearly to the edge of the carapace, accompanied by a marked keel.

The branchial formula is the same as i Faxon's species; the second lamella above the third leg is not however concealed beneath the first, but plainly visible, and it is about $2 / 3$ as long as the first.

The eyes are as in S. phorcus. In the smaller specimens the lateral length of the cornea is less than half that of the stalk, while in the adult it is about two-thirds.


Figs. 22-23. Sergestes grandis. 22) $¢ 17$, st. 52, y $600(2 / 1)$. Below is shown the fourth pereiopod more enlarged. 23) $¢ 10$, st. 34, y $400(2 / 1)$.


Figs. 24-26. Sergestes grandis, $\sigma^{x} 20$, st. $51,3 \ln 4000.24$ a) scaphocerite ( $5 / 1$ ). 24 b) antennular peduncle ( $5 / 1$ ). 25) outer uropod ( $5 / 2$ ). 26) petasma ( ${ }^{10 / 1}$ ).

$$
\text { SUND - } 3
$$

The antennular peduncles are of the same type as those of S. robustus, though the 2 nd joint is relatively slightly longer, resembling that of S. phorcus (see fig. 24).

The scaphocerite (fig.24) differs from that of S. phorcus, the outer edge being less curved, and the inner being slightly concave in its outer two-thirds. Its under side carries more feather bristles than in any other Sergestes known to me. These bristles are sparsely implanted in a longitudinal bed along the middle of the scaphocerite, and their length equals about one-third of the breadth of the appendage. The scaphocerite carries a row of 9-10 luminous (?) patches.

The fourth pair of pereiopods differs from those both of S. phorcus and of S. bisulcatus in having the two last joints broader, the greatest breadth of the penultimate joint being more than one-fourth of its length.

The exopodite of the outer uropod is shown in fig. 25. The spine is situated slightly more than two-thirds from the base (in S. phorcus slightly less), the breadth is contained about $4^{1 / 2}$ times in the length (in S. phorcus about 5 times). The general form recalls that found in S. splendens. In S. bisulcatus the uropod is of quite another type.

The telson has dorsally a broad shallow groove, the limits of which bend slightly together just before the middle and form the dorsolateral edges of the telson in its posterior part.

Male. The only male (from st. 51) differs from the females in the larger size of its eyes, a sexual difference also found in S. robustus. The grooves and keels on the carapace are less distinct, and the integument on the whole is stiffer than in the females, perhaps depending upon difference in time since the last moult.

The petasma (fig. 26) possesses one process more (h) than in that of $S$. robustus, thus strikingly resembling the petasma of S. phorcus, from which it differs however in the following points:-(1) the process $b$ ends in a strongly curved hook, (2) the process $e$ is much shorter. (Compare the drawing in Faxon, 1895, pl. LII).

Sergestes grandis, like S. phorcus, has been captured on a few occasions only, and apparently does not inhabit the superficial waterlayers. Some of the specimens showed traces of red pigment (S. phorcus is reported to be deep red). During the "Michael Sars" expedition it was taken as follows:-

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { St. 34, y, } 400 \mathrm{~m} \text {. wire, one, of } 10 \\
& \text { n } 49,4 \mathrm{ln}, 3000 \text { - n one, 오 } 12 \\
& \text { n } 51,3 \ln , 4000 \text { - } n \text { one, ơ } 20 \\
& \text { n 52, y, } 600 \text { - n one, of } 17 \\
& \text { n n } \mathrm{y}, 1200 \text { - } n \text { two, it } 18,12.5
\end{aligned}
$$

Stebbing (1908) also describes a "S. bisulcatus" from S. Africa taken i $250-300$ fathoms, 29 miles $E^{b} N$. of Cape Point. He says that his specimens "agree so closely with Faxon's description that it would be rash to base a specific separation on the one or two minute differences which 1 have noticed". A glance of his figure however shows at once that his species has nothing to do with Faxon's. The form of the carapace is different in many respects, and it is not easy to understand how Stebbing could arrive at such a conclusion.

Neither is his species identical with that described here as S. splendens, with which it seems to have the nearest relations, as mentioned under that species.

## Sergestes tropicus n. sp.

This species shares with S. phorcus Faxon (described as S. bisculatus, Faxon 1895) ${ }^{1}$ ), and S. Kröyeri Bates, and S. gardineri Kemp, the character of having a conspicuous tubercle on the eyestalk. Faxon does not mention it in the text but it is seen in his figure. S. tropicus is easily distinguished from $S$. phorcus by the form of the petasma, compare fig. 27 with Faxon's figure (1895, pl. 52, fig. 1 h ), and from $S$. Kröyeri by the form of the rostrum (compare fig. 28 and fig. 29, the last being taken from Hansen 1903).

The uropods (fig. 33) are narrower (breadth contained $41 / 2-5$ times in length) than in $S$. Kröyeri, the uropods of which are "at most four times longer than broad".

From S. gardineri Kemp the present species is easily distinguished by the form of the petasma, of which Кемр (1913) gives an excellent drawing (p1. 7, fig. 4).

The third pair of maxillipeds are considerably shorter than the third periopods, the length of the joints (beginning with the second) being in a or 21 from st. 64: $6.5,6 \cdot 0,7.0,6.7,6.0 \mathrm{~mm}$. The fifth joint is subdivided into three subjoints the relative length of which are as $36: 11: 20$. The sixth joint is subdivided into 8 subjoints, the relative length of which are as $17: 20: 14: 13: 13$ : 15:14:13.

The scaphocerite, which does not reach beyond the middle of the third joint of the antennular peduncles ${ }^{2}$ )

The telson ends in a point and carries three pairs of spines along the dorsolateral edges and three other pairs is broad and the lamellar portion is much in advance of the triangular tooth. (See fig 30 and 31 where also the ocular tubercle is visible).

[^4]

Fig. 27. Petasma of Sergestes tropicus, or 18, st. 53, $3 \ln 2600(10 / 1)$. Fig. 28. Rostrum and eye of S. tropicus, or 19, st. $49,4 \ln 3000\left({ }^{10} / \mathbf{1}\right)$.
Fig. 29. Rostrum of S. Kröyeri, (after Hansen, 1903). Fig. 30. Fore-end of S. tropicus, $\delta^{1} 18$, st. $56,3 \ln 3000(5 / 1)$. Fig. 31 . The same ( $6 / 1$ ).
Fig. 32. Outer flagellum of antennular of the same specimen ( ${ }^{20 / 1}$ ).


Fig. 33. Sergestes tropicus, $\sigma^{7} 21$, st. $64,3 \mathrm{ln} 3000$. Right eye from above, petasma, end of 4 . leg, telson and outer uropod. (Enlargements inscribed in the drawnings).
between those edges. This feature together with others in a large male from st. 64 is shown in fig. 33.

Both the uropods and the scaphocerite show a reticular or cellular pattern, also found in S. mollis. The integument is soft as in that species and supraocular and hepatic spines are absent.

The branchiæ are feeble compared with those of $S$. robustus, though not in such a state of reduction as found in S. mollis (see Smith 1887). Relative length of four posterior branchiæ 6-2-4-3, the second branchia above trl. ${ }^{3}$ being very small and onesided.

The form of the secondary antennular flagellum in the male is seen in fig. 32.
S. tropicus is one of the larger Sergestes, one female and one male measuring about 70 mm . $(\mathrm{C}=21)$. It was found only in the southern part of the area examined, in all 18 specimens having been taken. It seems to be a deep-water species, as all the large specimens were taken at a depth of 1000 metres or more, even though most of the hauls were made during the night.

Colour orange, except mouthparts which are reddishbrown. The dark stomach can be seen through the body. The eyes are large and black, the tubercle is of the same colour as the stalk.

## Table of catches

| St. | Gear | m. w. | Number | Sex, size |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 29 | y | 2000 | 2 | 아 19, of 18 |
| 45 | y | 2000 | 1 | 아 13 |
| 49 | 4 ln | 3000 | 4 | ¢ $21,19,12$, 우 12 |
| 51 | $1 / 2 \mathrm{sn}$ | 700 | 1 | - 7, (def.) |
| * | $1 / 2 \mathrm{sn}$ | 1000 | 1 | ¢ 9 |
| , | y | 3000 | 1 | ¢ 9 |
| „ | 4 In | 4000 | 1 | ¢ 10 |
| 52 | 3 ln | 1200 | 1 | 아 10 |
| 53 | 3 ln | 2600 | 4 | $\delta^{\text {x }} 19,18,18$, 아 11 |
| 56 | 3 ln | 3000 | 1 | ${ }^{818}$ |
| 64 | 3 ln | 3000 | 1 | $8^{*} 21$ |
| 8 | 11 |  | 18 | $9 \sigma^{x}, 8$ 아, 1 - |

## Sergestes mollis Smith.

S. mollis, Smith 1884.
S. mollis, Smith 1887.
S. japonicus, Hansen 1896.
S. japonicus, Hansen 1903(2).

I have been somewhat in doubt as to what name should be adopted for the numerous "Michael Sars" specimens which agree in every particular with Smith's descriptions and figures, but have decided not to follow Hansen in regarding $S$. mollis as a synonym of $S$. ja-
ponicus Bate (Bate 1881, 1888). The evidence given by Hansen in support of his view seems too scanty. He has compared the three 30 years old and mutilated "Challenger" specimens with Smith's description, and found no difference. He says:-"It should be specially mentioned that an examination of the branchiæ showed the most complete agreement with Smith's description and drawing'". Still, he has not seen any Atlantic specimens, or he would have noticed that the form of the rostrum differs greatly from that of the specimen figured by Bate (1888, pl. 70), and until it can be shown that this difference is due to inaccurate drawing by Bate, it is safest to regard $S$. mollis and $S$. japonicus as distinct species.


Fig. 34. Sergestes mollis ,o ${ }^{7}$ 17, st. 81,3 In 3000. Petasma ( ${ }^{(55} / \mathbf{1}$ ).
The petasma (fig. 34) is remarkable for the rudimentary stage of the small hooks and tubercles on the processes. It is possible, though not very probable, that even the largest of our male specimens are not fully developed.
S. mollis is undoubtedly a deep-sea species, as will be seen from the following table of bathymetrical distribution (3 ind. from vertical hauls not consid.):-

| Depth (metres) | Nr . of hauls |  | Sizes (C. in mm.) |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  | 镸 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 4-10 |  | 11-17 |  | 18-26 |  |  |  |  |
|  | D | N | D | N | D | N | D | N | D | N |  |
| $350-800$ | 6 | 5 | 13 | 14 | 5 | 2 |  |  | 18 | 16 | 34 |
| 1000-1300 | 4 | 5 | 12 | 25 | 3 | 11 | 2 | 1 | 18 | 37 | 55 |
| 1500-2100 | 5 | 7 |  | 16 | 16 | 3 | 7 | 2 | 22 | 20 | 43 |
| Total | 15 | 17 | 25 | 55 | 24 | 16 | 9 | 3 | 58 | 74 | 132 |
| Grand total | 32 |  | 80 |  | 40 |  | 12 |  | 132 |  |  |

This table affords no evidence of a diurnal vertical migration, like that found e.g. in the case of S. splendens. A similar difference regarding this habit is found in the peneid genus Amalopenceus, the large-eyed species of which have a marked diurnal movement, while nothing of that kind could be inferred from the data regarding the species which lives deepest and has the smallest eyes, viz. A. Alicei. The same rule holds good in the genus Sergestes, S. mollis having very small, S. splen-
dens very large eyes. It will be noted that the large specimens were all taken at a depth of 1000 metres or deeper.
S. mollis was formerly known only from deep water along the Atlantic coast of the United States (Smith 84, 87). During the "Michael Sars" Expedition it proved to be fairly common, as it was taken nearly every time the deep-sea plankton appliances were towed, even as far north as between Scotland and Rockall (st. 101), but it has

Table of sizes.

| Size ............. | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Males............. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10 |
| Females ........ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 1 |  | 2 |  |  | 1 | 26 |
| Young ........... | 1 | 16 | 16 | 18 | 7 | 13 | 12 | 4 | 7 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 99 |

Table of catches.

| St. | Gear | m. w. | Number | Sex, size |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19 | 4 ln | 900 | 1 | - 5 |
| 25 | $1 / 2 \mathrm{sn}$ | 3400 | 2 | -10,8 |
| 29 | y | 2000 | 2 | -10,8 |
| 35 | $1 / 2 \mathrm{sn}$ | 4200 | 1 | -12 |
| 42 | y | 900 | 8 | -9, 7, 7, 7, 7, 6, 6, 6 |
| 45 | y | 2000 | 12 | 우 16, 16, $\odot 11,10,9,9,8,7,7,6,6,5$ |
|  | 4 ln | 3000 | 5 | - 10, 9, 7, 7, 7 |
| 49 | y | 2000 | 6 | ( 10, 10, 10, 9, 9, 7 |
|  | 4 In | 3000 | 3 | -9, 9, 7 |
| 51 | $1 / 2 \mathrm{sn}$ | 700 | 1 | $\bigcirc 4$ |
| " | y | 2000 | 5 | $\delta^{\prime} 19, \odot 13,8,7,5$ |
| , | 4 ln | 4000 | 2 | $\odot 13,7$, |
| 53 | y | 1600 | 2 | -12, 12 |
|  | 3 ln | 2600 | 12 | 우 $17,15, \delta^{\top} 15,14, \odot 12,9,9,8,7,6,5$ |
| 56 | y | 1000 | 3 | © 5, 5, 5 |
| , | 3 ln | 3000 | 1 | $\odot 8$ |
| 62 | y | 1000 | 2 | -6,6 |
| . | y | 2000 | 2 | - 11,6 |
| . | 3 ln | 3000 | 7 | 우 23, $\odot 13,12,10,10,10,9$ |
| 163 | 4 sn | ${ }^{4500-1500}$ | 1 | 아 18 |
| , | 4 sn | ${ }^{1350}{ }_{4}^{5}{ }^{5}$ | 2 | ¢ $17, \odot 10$ |
| 64 | y | 2000 | 13 | $\begin{aligned} & \nmid 18, \sigma^{7} 15, \odot 13,7,7,6,6,6,5,5, \\ & 5,5,5 \end{aligned}$ |
| " | 3 ln | 3000 | 9 | 우 $20,19,19,14, \sigma^{\text {or }} 17,16,14, \odot 12,11$ |
| 66 | y | 1500 | 1 | $\sigma^{614}$ |
| 167 | y | 1200 | 9 | - $10,8,6,6,6,6,5,5,5$ |
| 80 | 3 ln | 3000 | 3 | 아 $17,15,15$ |
| 81 | 3 m | 3000 | 7 | $\begin{aligned} & q 23(\mathrm{~L}=71), 21(\mathrm{~L}=64), 17,16,15,14 \\ & \text { o }^{\top} 17 \end{aligned}$ |
| 82 | y | 1000 | 3 | $\odot 13,12,11$ |
| 84 | y | 1000 | 1 | $\bigcirc 5$ |
| - | 3 ln | 3000 | 2 | ㅇ 20, 19 |
| 87 | 3/4 5n | 1500 | 3 | -12, 7, 5 |
| , | y | 2000 | 1 | ${ }^{*} 16$ |
| 92 | y | 3000 | 1 | ¢ 20 |
| 101 | 3 n | 2500 | 2 | ¢ 926,16 |
| 22 | 34 |  | 135 |  |

not been captured in the Norwegian Sea, where it probably does not occur, as we may infer from the non-occurrence there of other Atlantic deep-sea species, such as Hymenodora gracilis Smith, which is indeed replaced in the Norwegian Sea by a near relative: H. glacialis Bucholz.

## Sergestes vigilax Stimpson, H. 1. Hanseu <br> S. vigilax, Stimpson 1860 (mastigopus). S. vigilax, Hansen 1896.

Hansen has described the adult very carefully, and there can be no doubt as to the specific identity of Stimpson's young specimens and the adult described by Hansen. In the material from the "Michael Sars" expedition all stages from the very smallest are present, and the development of the charcteristic rostrum and maxilipeds can be followed without interruption until they assume their final shape, (see fig. 35 and 36). The number of spines on the 6 th joints of the maxillipeds I have found to vary between 20 and 22 , or rather less than stated by Hansen (22-25). The 5th joint is subdivided into two subjoints, the distal one being about one-fourth the length of the entire joint.

The petasma (fig. 38) is of quite another type from that found in $S$. robustus, and shows the closest resemblance to that of $S$. atlanticus.
S. vigilax is a small species, as seen from the following table:- (specimens of $2^{1 / 2} \mathrm{~mm}$. are entered as being 3 mm ., etc.)

| Size (C. in mm.) | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Males ... |  |  |  |  | 2 | 13 | 4 |  | 19 |
| Females. |  |  |  |  | 11 | 20 | 9 | 2 | 42 |
| Young..............\| | 1 | 39 | 50 | 26 | 11 |  |  |  | 127 |

S. vigilax is probably limited to the tropical Atlantic ${ }^{1}$ ). It was taken only on the southern section, from Africa to the Sargasso Sea. The catches were too few to allow any definite conclusions regarding the bathymetrical distribution to be drawn, but the table seems to indicate that (1) the adults prefer deeper water than the young, (2) that the adults sink during the night.


Fig. 35-38 Sergestes vigilax, 35) ¢ 7, st. 67, y 1200, rostrum ( ${ }^{40} /$ ). 36) $\delta^{\pi} 6$, st. 29, y 400 , end of 3 . mxp. $\left({ }^{20 / 2}\right)$. 37) $\delta^{\pi} 6$, st. 29 y 400 , outer uropod ( $10 / 1$ ). 38) $\delta^{16} 6$, st. 29, y 400, petasma ( ${ }^{30} / 1$ ).
) Harsen's opinion (1896) that Bates' S. parvidens, which was taken both in the Atlantic and the Pacific, is the young of S. vigiiax is certainly wrong. The eyestalks are, as represented by Bate, much too short. In a specimen of $S$. viglax of the same size they are much more than half the length of the carapace. It is equally certain that S. macrophthalmus, Stimps. is not the mastigopus of $S$. vigilax. The "Michael Sars" specimens of young S. vigilax agree fairly well with Stimpson's description, but they seem to have more numerous dorsal spines, which are found not only, as in S. macrophthalmus, on the hinder edge of the carapace and on the fourth and fifth abdominal joint, but also on the third and sixth joints up to a size of $7-8 \mathrm{~mm}$. ( $C=2,5 \mathrm{~mm}$ ). The size given by Stimpson ( 0,7 inch $=17 \mathrm{~mm}$.) suggests that $S$. macrophthalmus is uot identical with S. vigilax, as this species has lost all its dorsal spines before it attains a total length of about 13 mm .

| Depth (metres) | Number of hauls |  | Size |  |  |  | Total |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ⿹ㅢ } \\ & \text { ㅇ } \\ & \text { 든 } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | <-4.9 |  | $>4.9$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | D | N | D | N | D | N | D | N |  |
| 0-50 | 5 | 5 | 43 | 64 | 4 | 14 | 47 | 78 | 125 |
| 100-200 | 4 | 9 | 8 | 6 | 2 | 21 | 10 | 27 | 37 |
| 300- | 5 | 6 | 5 | 3 | 11 | 6 | 16 | 9 | 25 |
| Total .......... | 14 | 22 | 56 | 73 | 17 | 41 | 73 | 114 | 187 |
| Gr. total .... |  | $6^{2}$ ) |  | 29 |  | 8 |  | 87 |  |

Table of catches.

| St. | Gear | m. w. | Number | Sex, size |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 23 | y | 400 | 1 | ¢ 7 |
|  | T | (1215 m) | 1 | ¢ 7 |
| 20 | y | 400 | 3 | $\bigcirc^{87} 7,5.5, \quad$ 워 4.5 |
| 42 | y | 300 | 1 | $0^{17}$ |
| 45 | 1 sn | 100 | 15 | $\begin{aligned} & \delta^{7} 7, \text { ㅇ } 6,5,5, \odot 4,4,4,3.5,3.5,3,3 \\ & 2,2,2,2 \end{aligned}$ |
| , | y | 300 | 6 | 아 7, 7, 6, $\sigma^{1} 6,6,6$ |
|  | y | 2000 | 1 | $\sigma^{7} 6$ |
| 47 | $1 / 2 \mathrm{sn}$ | 40 | 4 | - 3, 3, 3, 3 |
| 49 | y | 370 | 6 | $\odot 5,3,2.3,2.2,2.2,2.0$ |
| . | 4 ln | 1000-0 | 1 | $0^{7} 7$ |
|  | $y$ | 2000 | 2 | - 2.6, 2 |
| 51 | 1 sn | 0 | 27 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ot } 7 \text {, } \odot 6 \text { à } 1.5,5 \text { à } 2,9 \text { à } 2.5,2 \text { à } 3,3 \text { à } \\ & 3.5,1 \text { à } 4 \mathrm{~mm} . \end{aligned}$ |
| " | y | 300 | 2 | ¢ 6,6 (def.) |
| - | y | 2000 | 1 | ㅇ 6 |
| , | y | 3000 | 2 | 아 6, $\bigcirc 3.5$ |
|  | 4 ln | 4000 | 1 | ¢ 6 |
| 52 | 1 sn | 0 | 22 | $\text { ¢ } 6,5, \odot 1 \text { à } 3.5,1 \text { à } 3,6 \text { à } 2.5,6 \text { à } 2,$ $5 \text { á } 1.5,1 \text { à } 1 \mathrm{~mm} \text {. }$ |
| " | $1 / 2 \mathrm{sn}$ | 100 | 3 | 우 $5,5, \odot 4$ |
|  | 3 ln | 1200 | 2 | 아 6, $0^{x} 6$ |
| 53 | 1 sn | 0 | 1 | $\odot 4$ |
| , | 1 sn | 60 | 22 | © 2 á $4.5,2$ á 4,5 à $3.5,5$ à 3,6 à 2.5 r 2 à 2 mm . |
| n | 1 sn | 100 | 11 | 우 $7,6,6,6,6,6,5,5, \odot 4.5,4.5,4$. |
| , | 1 sn | 200 | 5 | ㅇ $8,0^{\times 6} 6,6,6,6$ |
| . | y | 300 | 5 | 아 7, 7, 6, 6, 5 |
|  | y | 600 | 1 | ¢ 6 |
| 58 | 1 sn | 100 | 1 | ${ }^{7} 6$ |
|  | y | 300 | 1 | ¢ 7 |
| 62 | 1 sn | 200 | 1 | $0^{7} 7$ |
| 64 | 1 sn | 100 | 12 | $\odot 4$ à $4.5,1$ à 4,3 à $3.5,1$ à 3,3 à 2.5 |
| , | 1 sn | 200 | 2 | - 2.5, 2.3 |
| , | y | 300 | 3 | -3.0, 2.8, 1.5 |
| , | $3 / 4 \mathrm{sn}$ | 600 | 1 | - 2.6 |
| " | y | 1000 | 8 | ¢ $6, \delta^{\text {® }} 6,5,5 \odot 4.5,4.5,4,3$ |
|  | y | 2000 | 1 | ¢ 6 |
| 67 | 1 sn | 50 | 6 | $\odot 3.2,2.8,2.7,2.3,2.2,1.7$ |
|  | y | 200 | 2 | -3, 2 |
| $\cdots$ | y | 1200 | 4 | ¢ $7.5(\mathrm{~L}=24) 6,5,5$ |

${ }^{2}$ ) One vertical haul (one specimen) not considered.

Sergestes armatus Kröyer
S. armatus Kröyer 1855 (mastigopus)
S. armatus Hansen 1896 ( -,,- )

This species has hitherto been known only from its mastigopus stage, but during the "Michael Sars" expedition many adults were captured, which I shall briefly describe.


Figs. 39-41. Sergestes armatus. 39) $\ddagger 14, \mathrm{St} .23$, y 400 , rostrum $(5 / 1) .40) \nsubseteq 14, \mathrm{St} .23$, y 400 , end of 3 . mxp. $\left({ }^{10 / 1)}\right.$. 41) $\delta^{\pi} 10$, St. 51 , y 300 , petasma $(30 / 1)$.

Rostrum adscendent, its outer third tapering to an acute point (see fig. 39). Supraocular and hepatic spines present, the latter situated upon a marked keel running from the base of the antenna and disappearing behind the spine. The branchiocardial keel and furrow are also distinct and reach the hinder edge of the carapace.

In the antennular peduncles, the aggregate length of which is about $85 \%$ of the length of the carpace, the first and the second joints are nearly equal, the third being about one-third longer than either.

The very long third maxillipeds have the sixth joint divided into four subjoints, the relative lengths of which
are as $21: 30: 16: 33$. All four subjoints carry strong spines arranged as shown in fig. 40. In all the specimens the distal subjoint is strongly curved.

The outer uropods are fringed with setæ along $2 / 3-3 / 4$ of their external edge, and a spine is present only in some of the young specimens.

The petasma (fig. 41) recalls to some extent that of S. vigilax.

As far as can be judged form material preserved in formaline pigment is absent, except in the stomach, which is purplish.
S. armatus is a large species, compared with the others in Hansen's group 11, as will be seen from this table:

| Size (C in mm.) | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Males .............. |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| Females ............ |  |  | 1 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 4 <br> 15 <br> Young .............. | 5 |

The nearest relative of $S$. armatus Kr. is S. diapontius Bate H. J. H ( $=$ S. penerinki Bate H. J. H.), but is distinguished from it by the form of the antennular peduncles and the relative length of the hairfringed portion of the outer uropods.
$S$. armatus has been identified with certainty only in the Atlantic. Bate's specimens from the Pacific and from Australian waters, are not, according to Hansen (1903), correctly determined.

Regarding the bathymetrical distribution not much is known, and the "Michael Sars" material is too scanty to allow of any definite conclusions being drawn. (See table of catches).

Table of catches.

| St. | Gear | m. w. | Number | Sex, size |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 23 | y | 400 | 1 | 아 14 |
| 45 | y | 300 | 5 | 아 10, 10, 8, 8, 7 |
|  | 4 In | 3000 | 1 | 아 10, |
| 51 | 1 sn | 0 | 2 | $\odot 3,3$ |
| n | 1 sn | 200 | 1 | $8^{76}$ |
|  | y | 300 | 2 | 아 10, $0^{x} 10$ |
| 53 | y | 300 | 2 | ¢ 9,7 |
|  | y | 600 | 1 | ¢ 7 |
| 64 | 1 sn | 100 | 3 | $\odot 3^{1 / 2}, 3,3$ |
| . | 1 sn | 200 | 2 | (-) $4^{1 / 2}, 4$ |
| , | y | 300 | 3 | -4, 4, 3 |
| " | y | 1000 | 2 | ¢ 8 , $8^{17}$ |
|  | y | 2000 | 1 | ¢ 6 |
| 67 | 1 sn | 50 | 1 | ¢ 11 |
| , | $3 / 4 \mathrm{sn}$ | 600 | 1 | (-41/2 |
| , | y | 1200 | 2 | ¢ $13(\mathrm{~L}=36), \delta^{7} 9(\mathrm{~L}=31)$ |
| 6 | 17 |  | 30 | 15 ㅇ, $4 \delta^{\prime}, 11$ - |

Sergestes pectinatus (Hansen) nomen novum.
Sergestes Henseni (Ortmann) H. J. Hansen 1896 (partim).
This interesting species was described by Hansen (1896) from "National" specimens, but it is not, as he believed, identical with the species described from the same sample by Ortmann (1893) as Sergia henseni. On comparing Ortmann's and Hansen's descriptions it appears without doubt that both authors have founded their descriptions on specimens of two distinct (though closely related) species. Ortmann described one species, for which I propose to retain the name $S$. Henseni while Hansen fixed his attention chiefly upon an example of another species, for which I here propose the name $S$. pectinatus, alluding to the peculiar structure of the map. ${ }^{3}$ first seen by Hansen. That both species were present in the sample
in question is certain from the following passage in Hansens description relating to the outer uropod (1896, p. 959): "- - in the one specimen the ciliated part occupies three-fifths, in the other almost four-fifths of its length. In no other species have I met with any similar variation in this feature, but it also exists in the larvæ". One of the specimens must have lost its maxilliped, otherwise the error cannot be explained. Hansen's description may be completed by the following particulars. The rostrum is rudimentary, consisting of a horizontal spiniform process from the frontal margin, its length at most equalling one-fifth of the breadth of the cornea. The three joints of the antennular peduncles are of nearly equal length. The relative length of the hairfringed portion of the outer uropod is about four-fifths (in one specimen


Figs. 42-43. Sergestes pectinatus. 42) $\sigma^{x} 6$, st. 45, y 300. petasma ( ${ }^{(30 / 1)}$. 43) $\sigma^{x} 5$, st. 45, y 300. a) 6 . joint of left 3. $\operatorname{mxp}$. ( ${ }^{40 / 1}$ ). b) 4 . and 5 . joint of same $(15 / 1)$. c) 4 . and 5 . joint of right $3 . \operatorname{mxp}\left({ }^{15} / 1\right)$.
carefully measured $82 \%$ ). The petasma (see fig. 39) is of a type different both from that found in the "robustusgroup" and from that of $S$. vigilax.

A peculiar interest is attached to a specimen ( $\sigma^{\pi} 5$ ) from st. 45 , y 300 m . w., which presents all the charasters of $S$. pectinatus, except in the left mxp. ${ }^{3}$, which is shown in fig. 43. The sixth joint is subdivided in the typical manner, but the "comb" is wanting, being replaced by an armature of spines resembling that found in the mastigopus and in the adults of other species. I am inclined to regard this abnormality as an instance of atavistic regeneration pointing to the origin of S. pectinatus as a mutation from a nearly allied form. The right
mop. ${ }^{3}$ is of the typical pectinatus-shape, the fifth joint being adorned with "comb" (the sixth joint is missing).
S. pectinatus is a very small species, apparently not exceeding $C=8 \mathrm{~mm}$., (total length about 25 mm .), and the sexes may be distinguished even in specimens about 10 mm . in total length.

| Size (C in mm.) | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Males ................ |  |  | 3 | 4 | 5 | 3 |  |  | 15 |
| Females ............ |  |  | 7 | 10 | 8 | 2 | 1 | 28 |  |
| Young............... | 2 | 17 | 14 |  |  |  |  |  | 33 |

The larvæ differ from the adults in the following characters:-(1) the eyes are enormus, their greater diameter being from 31 to $50 \%$ of the length of the carapace; (2) the relative size of the joints in the antennular peduncles shows an approximation towards the proportions found in S. Henseni (see under that species); (3) the percentage of hairfringed edge on the outer uropods is less, beeing from $74-78 \%$ against about $80 \%$ in the adult; (4) the sixt joint of the mxp. ${ }^{3}$ is subdivided as in the adult, but carries only spines, something like the abnormal mxp. ${ }^{3}$ found in an adult male (fig. 43).

It may be that Hansen is right in supposing that Mastigopus tenuis Bate ( $1888, \mathrm{pl} .65$ ) is identical with Ortmann's $S$. sargassi. If so, the structure of the uropods and the process on the mxp. ${ }^{3}$ indicate its connection with S. pectinatus, the said process not being found in S. Henseni. In that case the antennular peduncles must have been incorrectly drawn by Bate.
S. pectinatus was captured only in the southern part of the area explored by the "Michael Sars", and Ortmann (1893) reports it (and S. Henseni!) from the equatorial region of the Atlantic.

It seems to live in the upper waterlayers.

## Table of catches

| St. | Gear | m. w. | Number | Sex, size |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 23 | 1 sn | 200 | 1 | $0^{4} 5$ |
| 34 | y | 400 | 1 | ㅇ 4.5, |
| 42 | y | 300 | 3 | ¢ $95,5,0^{1} 4$ |
| 45 | 1 sn | 100 | 6 | 아 4, 4, 4, $0^{r} 4,4,3$ |
| " | y | 300 | 13 | ㅇ¢ $6,6,6,6,5,5,5,5,5, \bigcirc^{*} 6,5,5,5$ |
| n | 1/2 Sn | 1000 | 1 | 아 4 |
| 49 | 1 sn | 100 | 1 | $\bigcirc 2.4$ |
| - | y | 2000 | 1 | ¢ 96 |
|  | 3 ln | 3000 | 1 | $0^{7} 5$ |
| 51 | 1 sn | 200 | 1 | $8^{16}$ |
| . | 1/2 Sn | 700 | 1 | 아 |
| , | y | 300 | 3 | 아 6, 5, $0^{7} 3$ |
| . | y | 2000 | 1 | ¢ 96 |
|  | y | 3000 | 1 | ¢ 8 |
| 53 | 1 sn | 200 | 2 | ¢ 7,7 |
| 56 | $1 / 2 \mathrm{sn}$ | 500 | 1 | ¢ 4 (def.) |
| 62 | 1 sn | 200 | 1 | $\sigma^{7} 6$ |
| 64 | 1 sn | 100 | 7 | $\bigcirc 3,2.5,2,2,2.2,2.0,2.0,1.8$ |
| . | 1 sn | 200 | 4 | $\odot 2.9,2.7,2.7,2.0$ |
| , | y | 300 | 5 | - 2.7, 2.5. 2.3, 1.3, $0.9(\mathrm{~L}=3)$ |
|  | $3 / 4 \mathrm{sn}$ | 600 | 6 | $\bigcirc 3,3,3,2.2,2,2,2.0$ |
| 67 | 1 sn | 50 | 4 | $\odot 2.8,2.2,2.0,1.5$ |
|  | y | 200 | 6 | $\odot 2.8,2.6,2.5,2.4,2.4,2.3$ |
| 64 | y | 1000 | 1 | $0^{\prime \prime} 3.5(\mathrm{~L}=10)$ |
|  | y | 2000 | 1 | ㅇ 3.5 |
| 67 | y | 1200 | 3 | ㅇ $5.5,3.5, O^{17} 2.5$ |
| 13 | 26 |  | 76 |  |

## Sergestes Henseni Ortmann.

Sergia Henseni, Ortmann 1893. Sergestes sargassi, do., (mastigopus). Sergestes Henseni, Hansen 1896 (partim). Sergestes vigilax, Stephensen 1913.
In describing S. Henseni it will be convenient to compare it with S. pectinatus.

The rostrum is of the same shape, but longer than in S. pectinatus, its length equalling $2 / 3$ of the breadth of the cornea.

The distal joints of the antennular peduncles are nearly of the same length but the first is much longer. In one specimen the following proportion was found: 19-10-11, in another: $18-11-13$.

The third pair of maxillipeds are much more slender than in S. pectinatus, and the sixth joint, which also however, is divided into 5 subjoints, is not provided with a "comb", but carries a crowded armature of long and short spines. (See fig. 44). The relative length of the joints is about as $25-36-9-9-20$ (in the other species as $34-16-16-16-17$ ).

The hairfringed portion of the outer uropods, which carries sometimes a small tooth, is much shorter than in S. pectinatus, about $60 \%$.

Ortmann's figure (1893, pl. 3, fig. 3) is drawn from a specimen of the present species. It is easy to understand that Hansen (1896) found this figure "rather deficient" because he compared it with a specimen of $S$. pectinatus (certainly overlooked by Ortmann). The petasma is slender as in S. pectinatus, but very different in shape (see fig. 45). A singular feature, which I have not observed in other species, is the presence of multiple spines on the process $f$, somewhat recalling the "morningstars" of by-gonetimes (se fig. 46). ${ }^{1}$ )

The larvæ are easily separated from those of $S$. pectinatus by several characters, viz: (1) the eyes are smaller, their longer diameter ( E ) compared with the length of the carapace $\left.(\mathrm{C})^{2}\right)$ being as follows:-

| $\mathrm{C}-1.8$ | 2.0 | 2.3 | 2.6 | 3.0 | 3.1 | 3.2 | 3.5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{E}-0.7$ | 0.65 | 0.75 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.75 | $0.8 ;$ |
| in Serg. pect.: | $\mathrm{C}-1.5$ | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.2 | 2.8 |  |  |
|  |  | $\mathrm{E}-0.85$ | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.9 |  |

(2) the second joint of the antennular peduncles is shorter, though the measurements are rather uncertain owing to the difficulty in securing a horizontal position without injuring the specimens; below the values found:

[^5]$\frac{\text { 2nd jnt. }}{\text { 3rd jnt. }} \quad 100=73 \cdot 78 \cdot 73 \cdot 78 \cdot 75 \cdot 82 \cdot 87 \cdot 75 ;$
$\quad$ in S. pect. $82 \cdot 96 \cdot 82 \cdot 89$.
There is no appreciable variation with the size of the specimens. (3) the percentage of hairfringed edge on the uropods, which can be determined rather easily is very different from that in S. pectinatus. The following values were found (no appreciable variation with size): $61 \cdot 63 \cdot 64 \cdot 65 \cdot 63 \cdot 63 \cdot 62 \cdot 60 \cdot 64 \cdot 63$; in S. pect.: $74 \cdot 75 \cdot 78$. (4) the external maxilliped is very similar to that of the
adult, but there are only 4 subjoints, the fourth being later on divided into two. The armature is undeveloped, but the big spines are present and occupy similar positions as in the adult, see fig. 47. Attention is drawn to the fact that they are situated in pairs indicating a more primitive stage of development of the one-sidedness of the member, so pronounced in S. armatus, vigilax etc.

Sergestes Henseni is a much larger species than $S$. pectinatus, as the smallest males with petasma developed are about 5 mm . (C), while in $S$. pectinatus the petasma

 y 300 , process $f$ of same $\left.\left({ }^{(150 / 1}\right), 47\right) \odot 2$, st. 64 , y 300,3 . mxp. $(50 / 1)$.
is present in males of only 3 mm . (C). Below is a table recording the size of all the specimens taken during the expedition. It should be compared with the corresponding data for S. pectinatus.

| C (mm.) | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Males........... |  |  |  | 2 | 5 | 8 | 3 |  |  |  | 18 |
| Females ...... |  |  | 12 | 4 | 15 | 13 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 18 |
| 41 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Young ......... | 12 | 15 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 36 |

From the table of bathymetrical distribution it appears that S. Henseni lives in depths of about 150 metres. The data seem too scanty to allow of definite conclusions being drawn as to diurnal migrations or differences in habitat of the young and adult.

| Depth (metres) | Hauls |  | Sizes (C in mm.) |  |  |  | Total |  | Grand <br> total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Below 5 |  | 5 - |  |  |  |  |
|  | D | N | D | N | D | N | D | N |  |
| 0-100 | 4 | 8 | 9 | 3 | 1 | 7 | 10 | 10 | 20 |
| 150-300 | 3 | 9 | 20 | 1 | 1 | 34 | 21 | 35 | 56 |
| 600-1500. | 3 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 10 | 6 | 10 | 16 |
| Total | 10 | 25 | 30 | 4 | 7 | 51 | 37 | 55 | 92 |
| Grand total... |  | 1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

According to our present knowledge the area of distribution is the same as that of S. pectinatus, with one remarkable exception one specimen caught as far north as st. 88 (about $45^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 26^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ ).

[^6]Table of cathes.

| St. | Gear | m. w. | Number | Sex, size |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 23 | 1 sn | 200 | 1 | 96 |
| " | y | 400 | 3 | 우 11, 10, $\sigma^{x} 8$ |
| " | Tr | $1250{ }^{1}$ ) | 1 | $\sigma^{7} 7$, |
| 29 | y | 400 | 1 | 오 9 |
| " | y | 2000 | 2 | ¢ 7 7, $0^{4} 5$ |
| 34 | y | 400 | 2 | 아 10,8 |
| 42 | 1 sn | 200 | 1 | ¢ 7 |
| , | y | 300 | 1 | 우 6 |
| 45 | 1 sn | 200 | 1 | - 3.5 |
| " | y | 300 | 16 | 오: 3 à 7,5 à 6,1 å $5, \delta^{7}: 3$ à 7,4 à 6 mm . |
| " | y | 2000 | 1 | $\sigma^{*} 7$ |
| 49 | y | 370 | 1 | $\bigcirc 3.1$ |
| " | 3 ln | 3000 | 1 | ¢ 7 |
| 51 | 1 sn | 200 | 3 | 우 9, 6, ơ 7 |
| " | y | 300 | 6 | 아 9, 7, 7, 7, ơ 7,7 , |
| , | y | 3000 | 2 | ¢ 7,6 |
| 53 | 1 sn | 0 | 1 | -4 |
| , | 1 sn | 100 | 1 | $\odot 3$ |
| , | 1 sn | 200 | 1 | ¢ 5 |
| , | y | 300 | 4 | 우 7, 6, 6, $\sigma^{7} 6$ |
| " | $3 \ln$ | 2600 | 2 | 아 6, $0^{7} 8$ |
| 56 | y | 2000 | 1 | ¢ $¢ 10$ |
| " | 3 ln | 3000 | 1 | ¢ 10 |
| 58 | 1 sn | 200 | 1 | ¢ 6 |
| . | y | 300 | 1 | ¢ 7 |
| 62 | y | 300 | 1 | ¢ 6 |
| , | $3 / 4 \mathrm{sn}$ | 2500 | 1 | ¢ 6 |
| 63 | 3 ln | 500-200 | 3 | ¢ $5, \odot 4,4$ |
| 64 | 1 sn | 200 | 5 | - 3.0, 2.7, 2.3, 2.0, 2.0 |
| " | y | 300 | 18 | $\odot 2$ à $3.5,5$ à 3,5 à $2.5,6$ à 2 mm . |
| " | y | 2000 | 1 | - 2.0 |
| 67 | 1 sn | 50 | 1 | $\bigcirc 1.4$ |
| \# | y | 200 | 3 | - 3.2, 2.6, 2.0 |
| , | $3 / 4 \mathrm{sn}$ | 600 | 2 | - 4, 3 |
| , | y | 1200 | 3 | 아 7, 5, or 5 |
| 88 | 1 sn | 200 | 1 | $0^{8} 8$ |
| 15 | 36 |  | 95 |  |

Sergestes Edwardsi Kröyer.
S. Edwardsi KRÖYER 1955.
S. oculatus do. (mastigopus)
S. Edwardsi Hansen 1896.

Of this species only one specimen was taken during the expedition, a mastigopus about 10 mm . in length ( $\mathrm{C}=2,88$ ), at st. 67,1 sn. $50 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{w}$.

## Sergestes (Acantosoma) sp.

## Pl. l, fig. 1.

The single specimen was taken at st. $51,1 \mathrm{sn}, 200$ m. w. I believe the photograph will give a better idea of the specimen than a description. The total length, excluding rostrum and telson is $2,5 \mathrm{~mm}$. I may suggest

[^7]that this Acanthosoma-form belongs to Hansen's group II, as the zoëa of S. arcticus, figured by Wasserloos (1908), is of quite another type. The form of the eyes suggest S. Henseni as being the adult.

## Penæidæ.

## Amalopenæus Smith 1882.

Gennadas Bate 1888.
Gennadas BoUvier 1908.
Amaiopencus Kemp 1910.
Amalopenæus elegans Smith.
Amalopenceus elegans Smith 1882.
Gennadas paivus Bate 1888.
-," elegans Bouvier 1908 (ubi syn.) Amalopenœus elegans Kemp 1910.
This is one of the commonest deep-sea prawns in the Atlantic; it was taken in great numbers in nearly every haul made in sufficient depth, - i. e. deeper than about 400 metres. In all 690 specimens were taken evenly distributed over the area investigated, excluding, of course, the Norwegian Sea. It seems however from the table of catches that the species is more abundant in the waters traversed by the northern route from St. Johns to the banks S.W. of Ireland, in this respect very much resembling the other pelagic prawn of quantitative importance, Acantephyra multispina, with which it disagrees in being found also in the southern portion of the area.

In the table of bathymetrical distribution ${ }^{1}$ ) the catches from the northern and southern sections are kept apart and it appears as if the species ventures to ascend a trifle higher up in the water in the northern section than in the southern.

| Depth (metres) | Northern section |  |  |  | Southern section |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $<5 \mathrm{~mm}$ |  | 5 mm . |  | $<5 \mathrm{~mm}$. |  | 5 mm . - |  |
|  | Day | Night | Day | Night | Day | Night | Day | Night |
| 200-375 |  | 1 |  |  |  | 3 | 10 | 3 |
| 450-850 | 253 | 2 | 100 | 4 | 14 | 36 | 6 | 14 |
| 1000--2100 | 29 |  | 85 | 2 | 23 | 14 | 32 | 38 |

It appears also that the young come somewhat higher up than the adult, though they are also decidedly dwellers of the deeps, even the smallest specimens in the collection displaying a similar red colouring as the adult, only the legs being more transparent. Even the smallest ( $\mathrm{C}=2 \mathrm{~mm}$.) have gone through the whole metamorphosis. The larvæ, which are presumably very small, could not be detected in the collections.

[^8]Table of catches (Hauls during night are marked with an*).

| St. | Gear | m.w. | Number | Sex, size |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | ? | ? | 11 | 6-9.5 |
| 19 | 4 sn | 900-0 | 16 | 3-8 |
| , | 1 sn | 900-300 | 3 | 6, 5, 3 |
|  | y | 400 | 10 | 5-8 |
| 25* | $1 / 2$ sn | 3400 | 2 | 4,6 |
| 29 | y | 2000 | 1 | 7 |
| 34 | y | 1000 | 1 | -5 |
| 35 | $1 / 2$ sn | 4200 | 2 | 7, 3 |
|  | T | (2603) | 1 | $\frac{4}{7}, 6,6,6,5,5,5,4,4,4$ |
| 42* | ${ }_{1 / 2}$ | 900 | 10 | $7,6,6,6,5,5,5,4,4,4$ |
| 5* | $1 / 2 \mathrm{sn}$ | 500 | 3 | 7, 5, 3 |
| 51** | y | 2000 | 1 |  |
| 53* | y | 1600 | 6 | $5,5,5,4,4,3$ |
| * | $1 / 2 \mathrm{sn}$ | 2100 | 5 | $6,5,5,5,5$ |
| $5{ }^{*}$ | 3 ln | 2600 | 13 | 5-7 |
| $56^{*}$ | $1 / 2$ sn | 750 | 3 | 5, 3, 3 (very defect) |
| - | y | 1000 | 29 | $5,5,5,5+25$ small $(\mathrm{C}=$ ca. 3 ) |
| * | $y$ | 2000 | 8 | $6,5,5,3,3,3,3,3$ |
| * | 3 ln | 3000 | 4 | 5-7 |
| 62 | y | 1000 | 5 | $3,3,3,3,3$ |
| \% ${ }_{*}^{\text {* }}$ | $y$ | 2000 | 12 | $6,6,6,5,5,3,3,3,3,3,3,3$ |
| * | $3 / 4 \mathrm{sn}$ | 2500 | 7 | $6,6,5,5,5$, |
| ${ }_{6}$ | 31 n | 3000 | 1 | 6 |
| 63 | 4 sn | $1850{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 2 | 6 |
|  | 4 sn |  | 2 | 10,9 ${ }_{0}$ + thirteen with $\mathrm{C}-5-6+$ |
| 64 | y | 2000 | 34 | ㅇ. $12.5+$ thirteen $\odot$ with $\mathrm{C}=5-6+$ 20 small, $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{ca} .3$ |
| - | $3 / 4 \mathrm{sn}$ | 2500 | 5 | 10, 6, 6, 6, 4 |
| 0 | $3 \ln$ | 3000 | 3 | ㅇ 12, 9, $0^{\top} 12$ |
| 66 | y | 1000 | 9 | $3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3$ |
|  | $3 / 45$ | 1500 | 11 | $8,7,6,6,6,6,4,3,3,3,3$ |
| 67 | $3 \ln$ | 2200 | 1 | ¢ 9 (defect) |
| 70 | y | 1700 | 8 | 12, $10,9,9,8,8,7,7$ |
| 80 | y | 1000 | 1 |  |
| " | $3 / 9 \mathrm{sn}$ | 1500 | 1 | 아 10 |
| \# | $y$ | 2000 | 10 | $\text { 아 } 13,12,5,12.5,11,9,7, \sigma^{\top} 11,10,9,9$ |
| " | $3 / 4 \mathrm{sn}$ | 2500 | 5 | ¢ $13,12,10,0^{\text {o }} 10,7$ |
| 81 | $3 \ln$ | 3000 | 5 | ¢ $12,11, \delta^{\text {x }} 10,9,9$ |
| 81 | y | 2000 | 2 |  |
| \% | $3 / 4 \mathrm{sn}$ | 2500 | 2 | 2, 2, doubtful $7,7,7$ |
| 87 | 3 ln | 3000 | 12 | 위 12.5, 11, 9, 8, 7, 7, 7, 7, \% 9, 8, 7, 7 |
| 82 | y | 1000 | 13 | 아 $8,8,8,8,7,6,6$, or $^{7}, 8,7,7,6$, ๑3 |
| , | $3 / 4 \mathrm{sn}$ | 1500 | 5 | 안 $8,7,7,6,0^{\text {o }} 8$ |
| 83 | y | 2000 | 2 | ㅇ 11, $0^{7} 9$ |
| 83 | 1 sn | 1000 | 4 | $3,3,3,3$ |
| 84 | y | 1000 | 57 | ㅇ 7, 6, ox $7,7,7+52$ small, $\mathrm{C}=2-3 \mathrm{~mm}$. |
| " | $3 / 4 \mathrm{sn}$ | 1500 | 8 | ¢ $7,0^{\times} 9,7, \bigcirc 2,2,3,3,3$ |
| , | y | 2000 | 7 | ¢ 9,7, o $^{\text {¢ }} 8,7,7,6,6$ |
|  | $3 / \div 5 n$ | 2500 | 3 | $0^{*} 7, \odot 2.5,2.5$ |
| 87 |  | 1000 | 4 | 2-3 |
| " | $3 / 4 \mathrm{sn}$ | 1500 | 36 | $0^{x} 6,5$ the rest small, $\mathbf{C}=$ ca. 3 |
| 88 | y | 2000 | 10 | ox 6, 6 do, do. |
| 88 | y | 1000 | 49 | O $7,6,6,4,4$ the rest $\odot$ with $\mathrm{C}=3$ |
| " | $3 / 4 \mathrm{sn}$ | 1500 | 10 | 아 $7,7,6,6,{ }^{\text { }} 7,6,6,6,4,3$ |
| 9 | y | 2000 | 14 | ¢ $8,6,6, \sigma^{\top} 7,7$ and $9 \bigcirc$ with $\mathrm{C}=$ ca. 3 |
| 90 | y | 1000 | 17 | ㅇ, 7 , and sixteen $\odot$ with $\mathrm{C}=$ ca. 4 |
| 9\% | $3 / 4 \mathrm{sn}$ | 1500 | 3 | ㅇ 6, 6, ơ 6 |
| 92* | $3 / 4 \mathrm{sn}$ | 600 | 1 |  |
| \% | y | 1000 | 5 | 아 6, $\sigma^{7} 6,6, \odot 4,4$ |
| " | $3 / 4 \mathrm{sn}$ | 1500 | 1 | 우 7 |
| $\bigcirc$ | y | 2000 | 2 | ¢ 9, 8 |
| 97 | $3 / 4$ sn | 1500 | 2 | 3, 3 |
| 98 | y | 1000 | 38 | $98+37$ - $(3-4)$ |
| , | $2 / 4 \mathrm{sn}$ | 1450 | 9 | $0^{\top} 6,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3$ |
| " | 3 ln | 1500 | 48 |  |
| 101 | Y | 1000 | 40 | $\mathrm{C}=3-4$ |
| " | $3 / 4$ sn | 1500 |  | \% 7, ox $7,6,6$ and four $\odot, C=2.5-3$ |
| " | y | 2000 | 22 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { i } 8,8,7,7,6,6,6,6,07,7,7,6,6,5 \\ & \text { and eight } \bullet, C=3-4 \end{aligned}$ |
| " | 31 n | 2500 | 20 | $\begin{aligned} & 13 \text { o ( } 4 \text { à } 8,4 \text { à } 7.5,3 \text { à } 7,2 \text { à } 6 \text { ), } 7 o^{7} \\ & \text { (3 a } 8,3 \text { à } 7,1 \text { a } 6 \text { ) } \mathrm{mm} \text {. } \end{aligned}$ |
| 27 | 69 |  | 705 |  |

## Amalopenæus valens Smith.

Amalopencus valens, Smith 1882. Gennadas valens, Bouvier 1908.

So far as the area investigated by the "Michael Sars" is concerned this species shows a similar distribution to that of $A$. elegans, though it was taken in greatest numbers in the SE part of the area. It has a more pronounced vertical migration than that species as shown in the table below:

| Depth | Day | Night |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 50 |  | 1 |
| $100-300$ |  | 55 |
| $500-2000$ | 11 | 43 |

The blue patches characteristic of most species of the genus Amalopenceus are larger and the eyes are larger and darker than in A. elegans.

Table of catches. (Hauls made during night marked *)

| St. | Gear | m. w. | Number | Sex, size |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19 | 4 ln | 900 | 2 | - 4, 3 |
| 23: | 1 sn | 200 | 2 | ¢ ${ }^{\text {8 }} 8.8$ |
| ${ }^{*}$ | y | 400 | 10 | 5-8 |
| ", | Tr | 1215 | 7 | 6-7 |
| "* | 1 sn | 500-0 | 1 | 7 |
| 25* | $1 / 2 \mathrm{sn}$ | 2600 | 2 | 8, 11 |
| 29 | y | 2000 | 2 | 9, 8.5 |
| 34* | $1 / 2 \mathrm{sn}$ | 600 | 1 | 4 |
| $n$ * | y | 400 | 8 | (5-8 mm.) |
| $35^{*}$ | $4 \ln$ | 2400-0 | 1 | 우 $10,0^{7} 8, \odot 6,4,3$ |
| 42* | y | 300 | 2 | 6, 6 |
| 45* | y | 2000 | 1 | $0^{7} 9$ |
| 49* | 4 ln | 3000 | 1 | 8 |
| 51* | y | 2000 | 1 | 5 |
| , * | 3 ln | 4000 | 1 | 98 |
| 52 | $3 \ln$ | 1200 | 2 | $\bigcirc 9, \odot 3$ |
| 53\% | 1 sn | 100 | 1 | 7 |
| $\cdots$ | y | 300 | 5 | $6,6,6,5,2.5$ |
| \% ${ }^{*}$ | y | 1600 | 2 | 7, 5 |
| ** | $3 \ln$ | 2600 | 12 | ( $5-8 \mathrm{~mm}$.) |
| $56^{*}$ | y | 300 | 10 | 우 $10,10,7, \sigma^{7} 11,9,8,8,7,7,5$ |
| \% | y | 1000 | 1 | 우 10, |
| $\%$ | y | 2000 | 6 | 7-9 |
| \% ${ }^{*}$ | $3 \ln$ | 3000 | 10 | 6-10 |
| 58\% | y | 300 | 7 | 5-8 |
| \% | $3 \ln$ | 600 | 6 | $10,9,7,7,6,6$ |
| 62* | 3 ln | 3000 | 1 | ¢ 10 |
| 64 | 3 ln | 3000 | 1 | + 10 |
| 67 | y | 1200 | 2 | 8, 7 |
| 81 | $3 \ln$ | 3000 | 2 | ¢ 10,8 |
| 82 | y | 2000 | 1 | $0^{7} 11$ |
| 94 | $3 \ln$ | 2000 | 1 | $\sigma^{7} 12$ |
| 20 | 32 |  | 112 |  |

Amalopenæus Tinayrei Bouvier.
Gennadas Tinayrei, Bouvier 1908.
This species resembles $A$. valens very much in appearance and seems to have a similar distribution horizontally and vertically, though it is probably wanting in the northeastern part of the area.

| Depth | Day | Night |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $50-200$ |  | 20 |
| $300-600$ | 6 | 5 |
| $750-1500$ | 3 | 7 |

Table of catches. (Hauls during night marked *).

| St. | Gear | m. w. | Number | Sex, size |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $34 *$ | y | 400 | 1 | ¢ 6 |
| $42^{\text {* }}$ | 1 sn | 200 | 2 | ¢ 7 7, 7 |
| "* | y | 300 | 4 | 8, 8, 7, 4 |
| 45* | y | 300 | 3 | ${ }^{8} 6,6,6$ |
| 51* | y | 300 | 1 | Ca. 6 (defect) |
| 53* | 1 sn | 200 | 3 | ${ }^{6} 6,6,6$ |
| ,* | y | 600 | 2 | 5, 5 |
| " | 3 ln | 2600 | 4 | 7, 7, 7, 6 |
| $56 *$ | 1 sn | 100 | 1 | $0^{7} 7$ |
| ${ }^{*}$ | 1 sn | 200 | 1 | $\sigma^{6} 6$ |
| " | y | 300 | 1 | $0^{8} 6$ |
| "* | $1 / 3 \mathrm{sn}$ | 750 | 3 | 3, 3, 3 (defect) |
| , * | 3 ln | 3000 | 1 | 6 |
| $58 *$ | 1 sn | 200 | 1 | 6 |
| "* | y | 300 | 2 | 6, 6 |
| 62* | y | 2000 | 1 | 5 |
| ,* | $3 / 4 \mathrm{sn}$ | 2500 | 1 | 6 |
| 64 | $3 / 4 \mathrm{sn}$ | 2500 | 1 | 6 |
| 67 | y | 1200 | 4 | 7, 6, 5, 31) |
| 80 | y | 1000 | 1 | ¢ 7 |
| 81 | 3 ln | 3000 | 1 | ¢ 7 |
| 82 | $3 / 4 \mathrm{sn}$ | 1500 | 1 | $8^{6} 6$ |
| 84 | y | 1000 | 1 | ¢ 6 |
|  | 23 |  | 41 |  |

## Amalopenæus Alicei Bouvier. <br> Gennadas Alicei, BOUVIER 1908.

The appearance of this species is very different from the other species of the same genus; the bright red is replaced by a strong orange and no bluc patches are found. The eyes are very small and their pigment faded. The adult specimens are of much greater size than the

[^9]other species in the genus. The species is certainly an inhabitant of the very deepest strata where the amount of light is nearly imperceptible. From the table of catches it will be seen that A. Alicei was never taken above a depth of 1000 metres, even during night.

The geographical distribation of A. Alicei is at present not fully known but is probably very extended. It has been taken during several of the Prince of Monaco's expeditions in the North Atlantic, approximately within the same area where the. "Michael Sars" obtained it.

Table of catches. (Hauls during night marked *)

| St. | Gear | m. w. | Number | Sex, size |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $25^{*}$ | 1/2 sn | 3400 | 1 | c. 16 (defect) |
| 29 | y | 2000 | 8 | 11, 11, 10, 9, 5, 4, 4, 4 |
| 35 | $1 / 2 \mathrm{sm}$ | 4200 | 1 | 8 |
| 45** | y | 2000 | 3 | 12, 12, 10 |
| \% ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | $41 n$ | 3000 | 3 | 12 (two def.) |
| 49* | y | 2000 | 3 | 4, 4, 4, |
| \% | 41 l | 3000 | 6 | $15,14,13,12,9$ |
| $51^{*}$ | y | 3000 | 1 | $\bigcirc 10$ |
| 53 | 3 ln | 2600 | 32 | 6-15 (mostly $12-14$ ) mm. |
| $56 *$ | y | 2000 | 2 | 14, 10 |
| ,* | 3 ln | 3000 | 4 | 15, 13, 11, 9 |
| 62* | y | 2000 | 1 | 15 |
| " | $3 / 4 \mathrm{sn}$ | 2500 | 1 | 15 |
| "* | 31 ln | 3000 | 2 | 17, 16 |
| 64 | y | 2000 | 1 | 7 |
| , | $3 / 4 \mathrm{sn}$ | 2500 | 1 | 8 |
|  | 3 ln | 3000 | 1 | $0^{x} 13$, ¢ 11 |
| 80 | $3 \ln$ | 3000 | 1 | 아 12 |
| 82 | y | 2000 | 1 | 아 11 |
| 12 | 19 |  | 74 |  |

## Benthesicymus Sp. Bate.

## Benthesicymus brasiliensis, BATE 1881.

This species was taken by the "Michael Sars" at st. 35 with trawl in a depth of 2603 metres. One defect female, total length 105 mm . The specimen differs from Bate's description by the presence of a very small spine in the hepatical area and a very small tubercle between the first pair of pleopoda. These characters may possibly have been overlooked by Bate, and this assumption is the reason why I do not propose a new name for the single specimen at hand.
B. brasiliensis has formerly been taken in the Southern Pacific and the South Atlantic, in depths between 600 and 4300 metres.

## Benthesicymus Hjorti n. sp.

(Pl. 1I, fig. 4).
This species bears a close resemblance to $B$. crenatus Bate sharing with it the outstanding feature of having the posterior edge of the fourth pleosomite saw-toothed (see fig. 48). Our species which might be considered the Atlantic representative of the Pacific species B. crenatus, differs from it in carrying only two teeth on the upper edge of the rostrum (the tip not included) and no spine on the carapace behind the rostrum.


Fig. 48. Benthesicymus Hjorti. Detail of abdomen ( $5 / 1$ ).

The eyes are devoid of pigment. The cornea is scarcely broader than the stalks which do not reach as far as the tip of the rostrum, neither to the extremity of the first joint of the antennular peduncles. This joint is of about the same length as the two outer joints together. The stylocerite ends in a short triangular tooth.

The carapace is very smooth, the sulci being nearly obliterated. Of spines only the branchiostegal is seen; it is very small.

The tail-fan is large. Telson is long, nearly three fourths as long as the outer uropod.
ln all three specimens were taken, two males at st. 53 (trawl, 2615-2865 m.) and one female at st. 35 (trawl 2603 m .). Below is given some measurements, in mm ., of these specimens.

|  | $\begin{gathered} \delta^{x} \\ \text { st. } 53 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \sigma^{\top} \\ \text { st. } 53 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \frac{9}{+} \\ \text { st. } 35 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Length of carapace .............................. | 35 | 26 | 44 |
| Do, rostrum included... | 42 | 30 | 55 |
| Length of scaphocerite, to tip of tooth | 21 | 16 | 24.5 |
| , - outer uropod, do. | 20 | 15 | 23 |
| „ - do., total ................ | 24 | 18 | 28 |
| \% - inner uropod....................... | 17 | 13 | 20 |
| , - telson. | 16.5 | 13 | 20.5 |

Benthesicymus longipes Bouvier. Benthesicymus longipes, Bouvier 1908.
This species has formerly been taken only once, near the Cap Verde Islands, in a depth 3890 metres.

Two of the specimens obtained by the "Michael Sars" were taken at a depth not exceeding 3000 metres (length of wire paid out 4000 metres) bottom being found in a depth of 3886 metres. The capture must therefore have occurred at a distance of not less than 800 metres ftom the bottom, thus establishing B. longipes as a plankton- animal.
"Michael Sars" 1910, st. 51, large net, 4000 metres wire out. Two males, length of carapace (C) 22 and 20 mm . respectively. St. 35, trawl, depth 2603 metres, one defect specimen $(C=19)$.

Benthesicymus? carinatus Smith.
Benthesicymus? carinatus, Smith 1884.
Only one, very mutilated specimen of B. carinatus was obtained, a female with a carapace length of about 30 mm ., at st. 82, at a depth of about 2000 metres (large tow-net, 3000 metres wire out). Drawings of the very characteristic mouth parts are given in fig. 49. The form of these, especially of the 2 . mxp., suggests for this species an intermediate position between the genera Amalopenceus and Benthesicymus.

Plesiopenæus Edwardsianus I. Y. Johnson.
Penceus Edwardsianus, I. Y. Johnson, 1867, p. 897. Plesiopencus Edwardsianus, E. L. Bouvier, 1908, p. 64, ubi. syn.

Of this magnificent species good catches were made with the trawl at the following stations:
at st. 23, depth 1215 metres, 54 males and 83 females, and one young, at st. 24, depth 1615 metres, one male and one female, at st. 41, depth 1365 metres, 18 males and 7 females.

The length of carapace was measured in all the specimens except four defect ones. The females are generally much larger as will be seen from the measurements. In addition to those contained in the table four


Fig. 49. Benthesicymus carinatus. Mouth parts.
defect specimens and one young of indefinite sex, measuring 30 mm . were taken.

The largest specimen, a female with a carapace 104 mm . long measured 334 mm . from tip of telson to tip of rostrum. The species has been taken on both sides of the Atlantic, in the Gulf of Bengal and in the Andaman Sea but not in the Mediterranean. Bathymetrical limits 344 to 1850 metres.

| Length of <br> carapace <br> cm. | Number of |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | males | females |
|  |  |  |
| 4.0 | 3 | 3 |
| 4.5 | 2 | 6 |
| 5.0 | 3 | 3 |
| 5.5 | 21 | 1 |
| 6.0 | 33 | 2 |
| 6.5 | 8 | 8 |
| 7.0 | - | 12 |
| 7.5 | - | 8 |
| 8.0 | - | 15 |
| 8.5 | - | 14 |
| 9.0 | - | 13 |
| 9.5 | - | 4 |
| 10.0 | - | - |
| 10.5 | - | 1 |

## Aristeopsis tridens S. I. Smith.

Aristeus? tridens, S. I. Smith 1884, p. 404, pl. IX, fig. 1-6. Aristeopsis armatus Sp. Bate var. tridens, Bouvier 1908 (ubi syn.).

This large prawn was obtained on two occasions during the expedition, both of the catches within the bathymetrical and horizontal limits of distribution as previously known, viz:
at st. 35, trawl, 2603 metres, 5 males and 3 females, at st. 53, trawl, 2615-2865 metres, 21 males and 20 females.

The sizes of the specimens were as follows:

| Length of <br> carapace <br> cm. | Number of |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | males | females |
| 3.5 | 2 | 1 |
| 4.0 | - | 4 |
| 4.5 | 6 | 8 |
| 5.0 | 12 | 7 |
| 5.5 | 5 | 2 |
| 6.0 | 1 | 1 |

The total length is about 4 times that of the carapace measured from the orbital sinus.

As the species is perfectly well established by Smith (1884) and clearly distinguishable from A. armatus (Bouvier 1909), there seems to be little reason to encumber its name by referring it as a variety of another distinct though nearly related species.

## Aristeomorpha foliacea Risso.

Pencus foliaceus, Risso, 1826.
Aristeomorpha foliacea, E. L. Bouvier, 1908, p. 53 (ubi syn.).
This species was taken together with Parapenceus longirostris, at st. 21, trawl, 535 metres.

Two females, length of carapace 58 and 65 mm . respectively.
The species is known from the Mediterranean and off Morocco in depths between 500 and 1300 metres.

## Funchalia Woodwardi Johnson.

Funchalia Wroodwardi, Johnson, 1867, p. 995-897.
Grimaldiella Richardi, E. L. Bouvier, 1905 (juv. solum).
Funchalia Woodwardi, E. L. Bouvier, 1908, p. 93, ubi syn.
No less than 67 specimens were obtained of this curious species, all of which are immature, the largest only about 7.5 cm . long while the type specimen taken by Johnson at Madeira in 1867 was $61 / 2$ inches or about $17^{1 / 2}$ cm. Bouvier (1908) belives that Funchalia leads a bathypelagic life though being able to ascend to the

Table of catchës. (Hauls during night marked *).

| St. | Gear | m. w. | Number | Sex, size |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $23 *$ | y | 400 | 8 | $4,4,5,6,7,7,7,8$ |
| 29 | 1 sm | 200 | 1 | 12 |
| - | y | 2000 | 1 | 11 |
| $34^{*}$ | $1 / 2 \mathrm{sm}$ | 600 | 1 | 9 |
| $35^{*}$ | 41 n | 2400-0 | 1 | 8 |
| 38 | $1 / 2 \mathrm{Sn}$ | 200 | 1 | 8 |
| 39* | 1 sn | 150 | 1 | 8 |
| $42^{*}$ | y | 900 | 1 | 5 |
| $\rightarrow *$ | y | 300 | 5 | 20, 12. 11, 11, 8 |
| * | 1 sn | 100 | 2 | 12, 11 |
| $45^{*}$ | 1 sm | 100 | 6 | $8,8,7,4,3,2$ |
| $\cdots$ | 1 sn | 200 | 17 | $\begin{aligned} & 18,10,9,9,8,8,7,6,6,6.6,5,4,4 \\ & 4,3,2 \end{aligned}$ |
| ** | 3 ln | 3000 | 4 | 20, 14, 10, 9 |
| 49* | 4 ln | 1000-0 | 2 | 9, 7 |
| $\overbrace{}^{*}$ | y | 2000 | 2 | 9,5 |
| \% | $3 \ln$ | 3000 | 1 | 8 |
| $51^{\text {\% }}$ | y | 2000 | 1 | 18 |
| 52 | $1 / 2 \mathrm{sm}$ | 100 | 2 | 5, 5 |
| 53* | 1 sm | 100 | 7 | $5,3,3,3,3,2$ |
| 58 | y | 300 | 1 | 3 |
| 67 | y | 1200 | 2 | 5, 3.5 |
| 14 | 21 |  | 67 |  |

upper waterlayers. From the catches of the „Michael Sars" Expedition it would appear that the young, at last of Funchalia are denizens of the upper waterlayers though not of the very surface, most of the 67 specimens (43) having been taken between 50 and 150 metres and the rest probably during the hauling up of the gear from greater depths.

As to the geographical distribution it may be noted that the species was taken both in the Sargasso Sea, S. of the Azores and W of North Africa while formerly it was known only from the neighbourhood of the Azores and the Canary Islands.

## Parapenæus longirostris H. Lucas.

Penculs longirostris, H. Lucas, 1849.
Parapencus longirostris, E. L. Bouvier, 1908, p. 102 (ubi syn.).
At st. 21 the trawl brought up from a depth of 535 metres four females of the above species. Their length of carapace was $29,30,32$ and 36 mm . respectively, total length $15-17 \mathrm{~cm}$.

The species has formerly been taken off the coasts of Portugal and Morocco and in the Mediterranean down to a depth of 500 metres.

## STENOPIDES.

## Spongicola Koehleri Caullery.

Spongicola Koehleri, Caullery, 1896.
This interesting species was discovered by the ${ }$ Caudan" in the Bay of Biscay in a depth of 1410 meters in 1896. Since that time it has probably not been retaken until the "Michael Sars" expedition obtained one specimen at st. 23 , from a depth of 1215 metres. $C=9, L=24 \mathrm{~mm}$.
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## Record

of horizontal hauls intended to provide samples of zooplankton during the "Michael Sars" expedition 1910.

The appliances used were:-conical silknets with mesh in end-part of 0.5 mm . ( $1 / \pm$ square millimeter) and with a diameter at the opening of $1 / 2,3 / \pm$ or 1 meter (designated in the tables as $1 / 2 \mathrm{sn}, 3 / \pm \mathrm{sn}, 1 \mathrm{sn}$ ); conical nets made of shrimp-trawl net, diameter at the opening 3 m . (" $3 \ln$ ") ; and young-fish trawls og C. G. Joh. Petersen's model (" $y$ '). In the first table (1) each haul is represented by a figure showing length of wire between the appliance in question and the ship. The actual depth is, of course unknown, but may roughly be guessed as about two thirds of the length of wire, in hauls near the surface perhaps somewhat less.-The surface-hauls
were alle made by means of a one-meter silk net. Table II shows the duration of each haul in minutes. Table III giwes a view af all the horizontal zooplankton hauls, distributed over the different depths (i. e. wire out) and over the four are as into which the regions travesed during the voyage may conveniently be divided. NE comprises station $1-12$ and $87-116$, NW stations $70-86$, SE stations 13-59 and SW stations 60-69. Operations began on the the 9 th of april 1910, at st. 1 and ended aug. 141910 at st. 116.

The position of the stations may be gathered from the three charts, pag. 35 .

Table I.
(Table I)

| St. | Date | Dur. <br> of <br> haul | Appliances towed simult. |  |  |  |  | St. | Date | $\left.\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { Dur. } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { haul } \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | Appliances towed simult. |  |  |  |  | St. | Date | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { Dur. } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { haul } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Appliances towed simult. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $1 / 2 \mathrm{sm}$ | $13 / 4 \mathrm{sn}$ | 1 sn | 3 ln | y |  |  |  | 1/2 sn | $3 / 4 \mathrm{sn}$ | 1 sn | 3 ln | y |  |  |  | 1/2 Sn | $\|3 / 4 \mathrm{sn}\|$ | 1 sn \| 3 ln | y |
|  |  | hours | m. w. | m. w. | m. w. | m. w. | m. w. |  |  | hours | m. w. | m. w. | m. w. | m. w. |  |  |  | hours | m. w. | m.w. | m.w. m.w. | m.w. |
| 10 | 19-21/4 | $21 / 4$ |  |  | 100\%) |  | 300*) | 53* | 8-9/6 | 6 | 1100 |  |  |  | 1600 | 83 | 14/7 | 1 | $1000{ }^{3}$ ) |  |  |  |
| " | " |  |  |  | 200*) |  |  |  | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | 2100 |  |  |  |  | 84 | 15/7 | 4 |  | 600 | 100 | 300 |
|  | " | 3 |  |  | 970 |  | 1570 | 56 * | 11-12/6 | 10 | 500 |  | $\left.100^{2}\right)$ | 3000 | $300{ }^{2}$ ) | " | " | " |  | 1500 | 200 | 1000 |
| 15 \% | 22-23/4 | 12/3 |  |  | 100 |  | 300 | , | " | " | 750 |  | $200{ }^{2}$ ) |  | 1000 | " |  | " |  | 2500 |  | 2000 |
| " | " | " |  |  | 200 |  |  | " | " |  |  |  |  |  | 2000 |  | " | " |  |  |  | 3000 |
|  | , | " |  |  | 600 |  |  | 58* | 10-11/6 | c. 10 |  |  | 100 | 600 | 300 | 86 | 18/7 | 1/2 | $100{ }^{3}$ ) |  |  |  |
| 19* | 2-3/5 | 8 |  |  |  |  | 400 |  |  |  |  |  | 200 |  |  | " | " | " | $300{ }^{3}$ ) |  |  |  |
| $23^{*}$ | $5 \cdot 6 / 5$ | $61 / 2$ |  |  | 200 |  | 400 | $62^{*}$ | 20-21/6 | $61 / 2$ |  | 600 | 100 | 3000 | 300 | " | " | " | $600^{3}$ ) |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1500 | " | " | " |  | 2500 | 200 |  | 1000 | " | " | 1 | $1000{ }^{3}$ ) |  |  |  |
| 25 A | i/3 | 3 | 2600 |  |  |  |  | " |  | " |  |  |  |  | 2000 | " | , | " | $2000{ }^{3}$ ) |  |  |  |
| 25 B * | $8 / 5$ | 5 | 3400 |  |  |  |  | 64 | 24/6 | 6 |  | 600 | 100 | 3000 | 300 | 87 | 17/7 | 3 | 300 | 600 | 100 | 300 |
| 29 | 9/5 | $1^{1 / \pm}$ | 1100 |  | 200 |  | 400 | " | " | " |  | 2500 | 200 |  | 1000 | " | " | n |  | 1500 | 200 | 1000 |
|  | " | " |  |  |  |  | 2000 |  | $"$ | " |  |  |  |  | 2000 | " | " | " |  | 2500 |  | 2000 |
| $34^{3}$ | 13.15/5 | 4 | 600 |  |  |  | 400 | 66 | 26/6 | 2 |  | 200 |  |  | 1500 | n | " | " |  |  |  | 3000 |
| $=$ | - | " |  |  |  |  | 1000 | " |  | " |  | 500 |  |  |  | $88 *$ | 18/7 | $61 / 2$ | 300 | 600 | 100 | 300 |
| $35^{*}$ | 18-19/5 | 2 | 4300 |  |  |  |  | " | $"$ | " |  | 1000 |  |  |  | , | " | " |  | 1500 | 200 | 1000 |
| 38 | 20/5 | $11 / 2$ | 215 |  | 60 |  | 215 | 67 | 27/6 | 2 |  | 600 | 50 | 2200 | 200 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2000 |
|  | , | . |  |  | 140 |  |  | " | " | " |  | 800 |  |  | 1200 | 90 | 21/7 | 3 | 300 | 600 | 100 | 300 |
| 39 A ${ }^{2}$ | 20-21/5 | 7 | 240 |  | 75 |  | 300 | " | " | " |  | 1700 |  |  |  | " | $\cdots$ | " |  | 1500 | 200 | 1000 |
|  | , | " |  |  | 150 |  |  | 69 | 29/6 | 2 |  |  | 100 |  | 300 | , | - | " |  |  |  | 2000 |
| $42^{*}$ | 23-24/5 | 7 | 500 |  | 100 |  | 300 |  | " | " |  |  | 200 |  |  | 92* | 23-24/7 | 4 |  | 600 | 1003000 | 300 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 200 |  | 900 | 70 | 30/6 | 5 |  | 100 | 200 |  | 300 | " | » | " |  | 1500 | 200 | 1000 |
| $45^{*}$ | 29-29/5 | $91 / 4$ | 1000 |  | 1001) | 3000 | $300{ }^{\prime}$ ) | " | " | " |  | 700 |  |  | 1700 | " | $\geqslant$ | " |  |  |  | 2000 |
|  | " | " |  |  | 200 ) |  | 2000 | " | " | " |  | 1200 |  |  |  | 94 | 26/7 | 3 | 250 | 600 | 1002000 | 300 |
| 47 | 30\% | c. 10 | 45 |  |  |  |  | 71 | " | 2 |  |  | 100 |  | 300 | " |  |  |  | 1500 | 200 | 1000 |
| , | $\cdots$ | , | 6800 |  |  |  |  | " | $\cdots$ | " |  |  | 200 |  |  | 96 | 27/7 | 1 |  | 100 |  | 300 |
| 48 | , | c. 12 | 150) |  |  |  |  | 80 | 11/7 | c. 6 |  | 600 |  | 3000 | 300 | 97 | 4/8 | $3 / 4$ |  |  |  | 50 |
|  | , |  | 7550 |  |  |  |  |  | " | " |  | 1500 |  |  |  | 98** | 5/8 |  |  | 600 | 1001500 | 300 |
| 49 B | 1/6 | c. 8 | 750 |  | 100 | 3000 | 370 | " | " | " |  | 2500 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1450 | 200 | 1000 |
|  |  |  | 1000 |  | 270 |  | 2000 | 81 | 12/7 | 3 |  | 600 | 100 | 3000 | 300 | 99 | 8/8 | 1/2 |  |  |  | 75 |
| $51 \equiv$ | 5-6/6 | c. 11 | 700 |  | 100 | 4000 | 300 | " | " | " |  | 1500 | 200 |  | 1000 | 101 | 7/8 | 3 |  | 600 | 1002500 | 300 |
| " | " | . | 1000 |  | 200 |  | 2000 | " | " | n |  | 2500 |  |  | 2000 | " | " | " |  | 1500 | 200 | 1000 |
| " | , | n |  |  |  |  | 3000 | 82 | 13/7 | $31 / 2$ |  | 600 | 100 | 3000 | 300 | " | " | " |  |  |  | 2000 |
| 52 | 8/6 | $21 / 2$ | 100 |  |  | 1200 | 600 | , |  | , |  | 1500 | 200 |  | 1000 | 102** | 9-10/8 | 3 |  | 400 | 1001500 | 300 |
| 53" | 8-9/6 | 6 | 60 |  | 100 | 2600 | 300 | " | n | " |  | 2500 |  |  | 2000 | " | " | " |  | 1400 | 200 | 600 |
| \% | $״$ | " | 120 |  | 200 |  | 600 | 83 | 14/7 | 1/2 | 1003) |  |  |  |  | " | " | " |  |  |  | 1000 |

[^10]Cruises of the "Michael Sars" 1910.


Portions of the voyage in larger scale in the two smaller charts. Figures denote station-numbers,

Table II.
Surface-hauls (with silknet, 1 m . diam.)
Duration of hauls given in minutes.

| St. | Dur.Ended <br> at | St. | Dur.Ended <br> at | St. | Dur. | Ended <br> at |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 5 | 10 | 14.40 | 44 | 10 | 14.00 | 70 | 300 | $\mathbf{1 3 . 1 0}$ |
| 10 | 90 | 21.45 | 46 | 10 | day | 71 | 120 | sunset |
| 0 | 60 | 11.00 | 47 | 10 | day | 73 | 15 | day |
| 15 | 100 | 23.30 | 48 | 720 | 23.30 | 75 | 5 | day |
| 18 | 15 | 23.30 | 49 | 240 | 16.30 | 78 | 15 | 17.00 |
| 19 | 10 | 9.15 | 50 | 10 | 8.30 | 80 | 360 | $\mathbf{1 8 . 0 9}$ |
| 25 | 60 | 22.50 | 51 | 15 | 20.45 | 81 | 180 | 18.30 |
| 26 | 10 | 21.40 | 7 | 660 | 5.30 | 82 | 60 | 16.00 |
| 27 | 10 | 1.10 | 52 | 150 | 24.00 | 84 | 240 | 17.30 |
| 28 | 10 | 7.10 | 53 | 390 | 4.30 | 87 | 180 | $\mathbf{1 3 . 3 0}$ |
| 29 | 75 | 20.15 | 56 | 150 | 4.30 | 88 | 390 | 8.45 |
| 31 | 10 | 16.10 | 58 | 500 | 4.15 | 90 | 180 | 18.00 |
| 32 | 10 | 20.10 | 59 | 15 | 16.45 | 92 | 240 | 2.30 |
| 35 | 45 | 19.45 | 60 | 10 | 9.05 | 94 | 180 | 15.00 |
| 37 | 10 | 9.15 | 62 | 390 | 4.30 | 97 | 45 | 20.10 |
| 38 | 10 | 12.00 | 64 | 600 | 17.00 | 98 | 240 | 6.30 |
| 39 | 10 | 4.00 | 66 | 120 | 17.40 | 99 | 20 | 9.20 |
| 42 | 10 | 5.00 | 67 | 120 | 15.15 | 101 | 180 | 16.00 |
| 43 | 10 | 18.00 | 69 | 120 | 10.30 | 102 | 180 | night |

Table VI.

## Summary of hauls.

| Wire out (m.) | Silk-net |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\stackrel{5}{6}}{6}$ | Large nets etc. |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\text { ज़゙ }}{\substack{\text { ¢ }}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NE | NW | SE | SW |  | NE | NW | SE | SW |  |
| During day (hours 6-18) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Surface | 8 | 6 | 14 | 5 | 33 | - | - | - | - |  |
| $40-150$ | 5 | 7 | 6 | 3 | 21 | 2 | - | - | - | 2 |
| 151-450... | 7 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 19 | 6 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 17 |
| 450-1250. | 5 | 9 | 2 | 5 | 21 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 13 |
| 1251-2250. | 4 | 5 | - | 1 | 10 | 4 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 14 |
| 3251-4750.. | 1 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 8 |  | + | 1 | 1 | 8 |
| 4751-7751.. | - | - | 2 | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total (day) | 30 | 37 | 28 | 19 | 114 | 19 | 18 | 8 | 9 | 54 |
| During night (heurs 18-6) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Surface ...... | 5 | - | 15 | 1 | 21 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 40-150.... | 5 | - | 11 | 1 | 17 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 151-450... | 7 | - | 9 | 1 | 17 | 4 | - | 11 | 1 | 16 |
| 451-1250... | 3 | - | 9 | 1 | 13 |  | - | 5 | 1 | 10 |
| 1251-2250... | 4 | - | 1 | - | 5 | 5 | - | 5 | 1 | 11 |
| 2251-4300................. | - | - | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1 | - | 5 | 1 |  |
| Total (night) | 24 | - | 47 | 5 | 76 | 14 | - | 26 |  | 44 |

Table III.
Number and duration of horizontal hauls.

| Length of wire metres | Silknets (diam. $0.5,0.75$ and 1 m .) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Large nets or y. fish trawl of shrimp net |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of hauls |  |  |  |  | Hours towed |  |  |  |  | Number of hauls |  |  |  |  | Hours towed |  |  |  |  |
| Limits | NE | NW | SE | SW | Tot. | NE | NW | SE | SW | Tot. | NE | NW | SE | SW | Tot. | NE | NW | SE | SW | Tot. |
| 0...... | 12 | 6 | 28 | 6 | 52') | 39.2 | 23.5 | 65.2 | 18.5 | 146.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40-50..... |  |  | 1 | 1 | 2 |  |  | 10 | 2 | 12 | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 0.5 |  |  |  | 0.8 |
| 51-150.. | 10 | 7 | 16 | 3 | 36 | 32.8 | 18.5 | 101 | 14.5 | 166.8 | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 0.5 |  |  |  | 0.5 |
| 151-250. | 10 | 5 | 11 | 4 | 30 | 34.8 | 17.5 | 65 | 16.5 | 133.8 |  |  | 1 | 1 | 2 |  |  | 1.5 | 2 | 3.5 |
| 251-450.. | 4 | 1 | 1 |  | 6 | 15.5 | 0.5 | 8 |  | 24 | 10 | 5 | 13 | 3 | 31 | 33.8 | 17.5 | 83.8 | 14.5 | 149.6 |
| 451-750. | 7 | 6 | 6 | 4 | 23 | 26.5 | 22 | 40.7 | 16.5 | 105.7 | 1 |  | 3 |  | 4 | 3 |  | 18.5 |  | 21.5 |
| 751-1250.. | 1 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 11 | 3 | 7 | 35.5 | 4 | 49.5 | 8 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 19 | 29.5 | 16.5 | 23.5 | 14.5 | 84.0 |
| 1251-1750. | 8 | 4 |  | 1 | 13 | 29.5 | 16.5 |  | 2 | 48 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 7 | 10 | 5 | 12.5 | 2 | 29.5 |
| 1751-2250. |  | 1 | 1 |  | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  | 7 | 6 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 18 | 22.5 | 16.5 | 39.5 | 14.5 | 93 |
| 2251-2750. | 1 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 8 | 3 | 16.5 | 3 | 12.5 | 35 | 1 |  | 1 |  | 2 | 3 |  | 6 |  | 9 |
| 2751-3250... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 12 | 7 | 16.5 | 38.3 | 12.5 | 74.3 |
| 3251-4750... |  |  | 2 |  | 2 |  |  | 7 |  | 7 |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  | 11 |  | 11 |
| 4751-6250...... . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6251-6750...... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6751-7250...... |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  | 10 |  | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7251-7750...... |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 53 | 37 | 74 | 23 | 187) | 184.3 | 123 | 364.4 | 86.5 | 757.2 | 33 | 18 | 34 | 13 | 98 | 110.1 | 72 | $\overline{234.6}$ | 60 | 476.7 |

${ }^{1}$ ) Series of of surface hauls at the same station here considered as one haul.

Plate I.

## Plate I.

Fig. 1. Sergestes (Acanthosoma) sp. ( ${ }^{35} / 1$ )
Fig. 2. Sergeste's robustus (juv.) Forepart, st. 64, y $300 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{w} .\left({ }^{42} / 1\right)$.
Fig. 3. Sergestes robustus (juv.) Tail-fan of the same: specimen ( ${ }^{42} / 1$ ).


Plate II.

## Plate II.

Fig. 1. Sergestes corniculum, $\{17$, st. 51 , y 300 m . w. Rasmussen del. $(2 / 1)$.
Fig. 2. Sergestes splendens, head-parts of a young spec-
imen, st, $64,1 \mathrm{sn}, 100 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{w} .\left({ }^{45} / / 1\right)$.
Fig. 3. Sergestes splendens, tail-fan of the same specimen ( $45 / 1$ ).
Fig. 4. Benthesicymus Hjorti 944 , st. 35, trawl, 2603 m . Rasmussen del. ( $1 / 1$ ).



[^0]:    ${ }^{1)}$ Subsequent scrutiny of the records has, however, shown that the depth attained may go up to two thirds of the length of wire paid out. The steepness of the wire is apparently greater when a great length is out, on account of the lesser speed caused by the greater resistance from the great number of nets which were attached to the single wire. See also J. Grieg: Brachiopoda etc. (in the present volume), p. 5.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ ) The depth is estimated as half the length of rope ("m. w.").

[^2]:    1) One haul from unknown depth.
[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ ) Two specimens from vertical haul not considered.

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ ) As regards the name $S$. bisulcatus, see under $S$. grandis.
    ${ }^{2}$ ) According to Bate (1888, p. 388) it reaches, in S. Kröyeri to the tip of the antennular peduncles.

[^5]:    ${ }^{\text {1 }}$ ) A drawing of the petasma is given by Stephensen 1913 as fig. 6 , though he refers his specimens to $S$. vigilax.
    $\left.{ }^{2}\right) \mathrm{C}$ is contained a little more than 3 times in the total length.

[^6]:    ${ }^{1}$ ) On vertical haul not incl.

[^7]:    ${ }^{1}$ ) Actual depth sounded.

[^8]:    ${ }^{1}$ ) St. 10 and vert. hauls not considered.

[^9]:    ${ }^{1}$ ) The smallest may perhaps be an $A$ elegans.

[^10]:    ${ }^{1}$ ) Appl. $100,200,300$, m. w. only $6^{1 / 4}$ hours. ${ }^{2}$ ) Appl. $100,200,300 \mathrm{~m}$. w. only 7 hours. ${ }^{3}$ ) Fine silk horisontal closing net, $\mathrm{d}=1 / 2 \mathrm{~m}$.

